

No.MCI-6(2)/2003-Med./

MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA

GENERAL BODY

127th SESSION

Minutes of the meeting held on 12th October,2004

The Council met in the Council Office, Aiwan-E-Galib Marg, Kotla Road, New Delhi on 12th October, 2004 at 11.00 A.M. with Dr. P. C. Kesavankutty Nayar, President (Acting), Medical Council of India, in the Chair.

Present:

1.	Dr. P. C. Kesavankutty Nayar	President (Acting) & Former Dean, Medical College, Trivandrum
2.	Prof. P.N. Tandon	Former Prof. & Head of Neuro-Surgery, AIIMS, New Delhi & Member, Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court
3.	Dr.(Mrs.) S. Kantha	Former Vice-Chancellor, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Karnataka & Member, Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court
4.	Prof. A. Rajasekaran	President, National Board of Examinations, New Delhi
5.	Dr. A.C. Borah	Former Director, Medical Education, Govt. of Assam, Guwahati
6.	Dr. B.C.Chhapparwal	Former Vice-Chancellor, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, R.N. Tagore Marg, Indore
7.	Dr. B.S. Kothari	Consultant Surgeon, Kothari Hospital, Mill Para Main Road, Rajkot
8.	Dr. B.A.Rudrawadi	Professor of Medicine, M.R.Medical College, Gulbarga
9.	Dr. Bijoy Mukherjee	Principal, Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan
10.	Dr. C.S.Jayachandran	Former Director of Medical Education, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Chennai
11.	Dr. C.V.Bhirmanandham	Vice-Chancellor, Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.
12.	Dr. D.G.Benakappa	Consultant Paediatrician, Bangalore Hospital, R.V. Road, Bangalore

13.	Dr. D.J. Borah	Professor of Medicine & Joint Director, Medical Education, Govt. of Assam, Guwahati
14.	Dr. D.K. Sharma	Former Professor & Head, Department of Paediatrics, LLRM Medical College, Meerut
15.	Dr. G.B. Gupta	Professor & Head, Department of Medicine, Pt.J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
16.	Dr. G.K. Thakur	Head of the department of Radiology, S.K.Medical College, Muzaffarpur
17.	Dr. H.P.Bhalodiya	Professor of Orthopaedics, B.J.Medical College,Ahmedabad
18.	Dr. Indrajit Ray	Principal, Bankura Medical College, Bankura (WB)
19.	Dr.(Mrs.) J.H. Soren	Govt. of Jharkhand
20.	Dr. J.N. Sony	Professor of Forensic Medicine, G.R.Medical College, Gwalior
21.	Dr. J.R.Kher	Professor of Physiology, J.N.Medical College, Swangi (Wardha)
22.	Dr. Ketan Desai	Professor & Head, Department of Urology, B.J.Medical College, Ahmedabad
23.	Dr. Mukesh Kr. Sharma	Department of Surgery, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur
24.	Dr. M.K. Bhowmik	Director of Family Welfare & Preventive Medicine, Govt. of Tripura, Tripura (West)
25.	Dr. Naveen Nahar	Consulting Surgeon, Nahar Hospital, Indore
26.	Dr. P.K.Sur	Director, Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education & Research, Kolkatta
27.	Dr. Prakash M. Shah	Chandan Hospital, Baroda
28.	Dr. A.K.Patel	Vijapur (NG), Distt. Mehsana, Gujarat.
29.	Dr. R. Chandrashekara	Vice-Chancellor, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore
30.	Dr. S.J. Penkar	Former Professor, Department of Obst. & Gynae., Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai
31.	Dr. S.H. Srivastava	B-5, Sri Krishna Hospital Campus, Karamsad
32.	Dr. S.B. Siwach	Professor & Head, Department of Medicine, Pt.B.D.Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak

33.	Dr. S.K. Sinha	Former Professor of Medicine, Patna Medical College, Patna
34.	Dr. S.K. Sharma	Former Director, Postgraduate Instt. of Medical Education & Research, Chandigarh
35.	Dr. Sahajanand Pd. Singh	Consultant Surgeon, Kankarbagh, Patna
36.	Dr. S. N.Mishra	Surya Hospital, 383/11-C, East Azad Nagar, Delhi-110 051
37.	Dr. S.S. Yadav	Former Director, Pt. BD Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak & Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon, VIMHANS, New Delhi
38.	Dr.(Mrs.) Usha Sharma	Principal, LLRM Medical College, Meerut
39.	Dr. U.S. Dhariwal	Principal, Sri Guru Ram Das Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Amritsar
40.	Dr. U.S. Sinha	Professor & Head, Department of Forensic Medicine, M.L.N. Medical College, Allahabad
41.	Dr. U.G. Nachinolcar	Former Professor & Head, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Goa Medical College, Bambolim
42.	Dr. V. Kanagaraj	Former Professor & HOD, Department of Anaesthesia, Madras Medical College, Chennai
43.	Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra	Dean, Faculty of Medicine, Nagpur University, Nagpur
44.	Dr. Vijay Prakash Singh	Department of Medicine, Patna Medical College, Patna
45.	Prof. N.B. Singh	Vice-Chancellor, Manipur University, Canchipur, Imphal
46.	Dr. Suresh C. Mohapatra	Principal, V.S.S. Medical College, <u>Burla (Orissa).</u>
47.	Prof. Dr. Dharendra K. Roy	H.O.D. Surgery, S.C.B. Medical College, <u>Cuttack (Orissa).</u>
48.	Prof. B.C. Das	Director, State Institute of Health & Family Welfare, Govt. of Orissa, Nayapalli, <u>Bhubaneshwar.</u>
49.	Dr. T. Rajasekhar Reddy	Opp: Municipal Office, Cuddapah-516 001 (Andhra Pradesh)
50.	Dr.S.Imkong Tushi AO	Retd. Director of Medical Services, Nagaland.
51.	Dr. Vasant N. Pawar	Sushrut Hospital, New Pandit Colony Nasik-422222, Maharastra

52.	Dr. S.K. Agarwal	Prof. & Head of Medicine, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi.
53.	Dr. S.R. Maralihalli	JJM Medical College, Davangere.
54.	Dr. Nitin S. Vora	Director, Health Services(ESIS), Govt. of Gujarat.
55.	Dr. Ajay Kumar	Consultant Urologist, Palm View Hospital, Ambedkar Path, Khazpura, Patna.
56.	Dr. Samar Deb	Professor, North Bengal Medical College Siliguri, West Bengal
57.	Dr. G. Yomchai	Qtr. No.30, Type V, 'D' Sector, Naharlagun-791110 Arunachal Pradesh
58.	Dr. Pramod Singh	Director of Health Services, Raipur, Chhatisgarh

Apologies for not attending the meeting were received from the following members:

1. Dr. Silvano C.A. Dias Sapeco
2. Dr. Amrith Lal
3. Dr. L.S. Chawla
4. Dr. C.Das.
5. Dr. V.N. Jindal
6. Dr. K.M. Raemeshchandra Babu
7. Dr. P.K. Mukherjee

1. **Notification of New Members**

The Secretary read out the notification of following new members elected/nominated as members of the Council since the last meeting of the Council held on 29th March, 2004:-

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>U/s</u>	<u>Constituency</u>
1.	Dr. Parbir Kumar Sur	u/s 3(1)(b)	Calcutta University
2.	Prof. S.K. Aggarwal	u/s 3(1)(b)	Delhi University
3.	Dr.(Mrs.) Nandita Choudhary	u/s 3(1)(b)	Dibrugarh Univ.
4.	Dr. Samar Deb	u/s 3(1)(b)	North Bengal Univ.
5.	Dr. S. Imkong Tushi Ao	u/s 3(1)(a)	Govt. of Nagaland
6.	Prof. S.C. Mohapatra	u/s 3(1)(b)	Sambalpur Univ.
7.	Prof. Bhagabati Charan Das	u/s 3(1)(c)	Orissa
8.	Dr. D.K. Ray	u/s 3(1)(a)	Govt. of Orissa.
9.	Prof. Indrajit Ray	u/s 3(1)(a)	West Bengal.
10.	Dr. Rajasekhara Reddy Thanduru	u/s 3(1)(c)	Andhra Pradesh

2. **Minutes of the last meeting of the Council – confirmation of.**

The minutes of the last meeting of the Council held on 29th March, 2004 were confirmed.

3. **Minutes of the last meeting of the Council – Action taken thereon.**

The Council noted the action taken by the office on the various items included in the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 29.3.2004.

3(a) **Pending items arising out of the decision of the General Body.**

The Council noted the list of pending items arising out of the decisions of the General Body.

4. **Address by the Acting President.**

Dr. P.C. Kesavankutty Nayar delivered the Presidential address and copy of the same was circulated to the august body. Salient features of the presidential address are as under:-

- The Acting President, in his welcome speech informed the members that in view of the binding schedules of the admissions notified by the Council in terms of the judgement delivered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Madhu Singh's case having been put into effect for undergraduate admissions in the year 2003-2004 and the postgraduate admissions in the year 2004-2005 had necessitated the processing of the various applications made u/s 10A of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 preferred by the various applicants either for starting of a medical college or renewal of the next batch of admissions or increase in the intake capacity including for the postgraduate courses in a time bound manner. The total adherence to the time schedule prescribed under the governing regulations had to be kept in mind, because violation of the same results in attracting the provisions at Section 10A(5) of the I.M.C. Act, 1956 resulting in an entitlement to the applicant of "deemed approval" of the requisition included in the application.
- He further informed that the same has been dispensed of by the Council in a smooth manner by causing well over 177 undergraduate and 293 postgraduate inspections during the impending period from the last General Body held on 29.03.2004 till date and appropriate recommendations in regard to all of them have been made by the Council and forwarded to the concerned authorities timely.
- Apart from processing the applications received in a time bound manner, an effort has been made to ensure that the admissions to various undergraduate and postgraduate courses are made not only before the cut out date but also in strict adherence to the sanctioned intake capacity. This is all more necessary in view of the fact that in case of violation of the same, the Council has been vested with the authority of imposing the disciplinary jurisdiction of the erring institutions.

- He further informed the members that due to the persistent efforts of the Council, His Excellency the Hon'ble President of India Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam gave away Dr.B.C. Roy National awards for the years 2000, 2001 and 2002 to total 54 awardees at a function organised in the Rashtrapati Bhavan on 3rd August, 2004. His Excellency the President of India was so much over-whelmed and he also delivered a short but inspiring speech to the awardees, members and other dignitaries in the audience.
- He further informed that the National Workshop pertaining to the update of the various regulations of the Council, especially, the Regulations on Undergraduate Medical Education, Postgraduate Medical Education, Teachers' Eligibility Qualifications and Minimum Requirements which were processed through the joint meeting of the Executive Committee and Postgraduate Committee were accorded approval by the General Body in its last meeting. A comprehensive amendment to these Regulations in terms of the recommendations of the Council have been forwarded to the Govt. of India for its incorporation and notification as is required u/s 33 of the I.M.C. Act,1956.
- The Acting President further informed that the Council was represented at the 6th International Summit of various Regulatory Authorities on Medical Education held at Dublin, Ireland from 21.04.2004 to 25.04.2004 by Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra, Chairman, Postgraduate Committee. The Medical Council of India has been accorded a regular member status with participating and voting right therein at the said Summit.
- He further stated that Dr. D.K. Sharma, member of the Executive Committee and he himself in continuation of the earlier meeting attended the meeting of the National Committee on Foreign Medical Education and Accreditation (NCFMEA) at Washington on 16th & 17th September,2004 and he happy to inform that the said Committee has decided to accord accreditation to medical qualifications conferred by various statutory Indian universities recognised and included in the appropriate schedules by MCI appended to its Act.
- The Hon'ble Members will recall that the learned Supreme Court through a verdict had directed amendments to the Regulations on Indian Medical Council (Professional Conduct, Ethics & Etiquettes), 2002 whereby clauses 8.7 and 8.8 stand incorporated. By virtue of the said clauses the Medical Council of India has been entrusted with an appellate jurisdiction over the decisions delivered by the concerned State Medical Councils. It is also provided that in case the appeals preferred with the State Medical Councils were not adjudicated within the prescribed time frame it would be open for the appellant to independently invoke the jurisdiction of the Medical Council of India for adjudicating the appeal.

- He further informed the members that the various appeals that were pending with the Council as of now needed to be cleared on merit expeditiously. Accordingly, the Ethics Committee during the impending period had disposed of 1624 cases out of the total number of 1805. As such more than 90% of the cases have been disposed of on merit as of now.
- He further stated that the members would recall that Dr. Aken Kumar G. Desai Memorial Endowment Fund was established in 2000 with main objective to sponsor the education of children of Group D employees of the Council. A sum of Rs. 2 lakhs has been collected by way of donations/contributions from the members and others. An amount of Rs. 11,763/- out of the interest of the above fund has been distributed amongst the seven lowest paid Group D of the Council employees for purchase of school books and uniform for their children studying in the schools.

The General Body of the Council while discussing the Motion of Thanks to the Presidential address, felt deeply anguished on the scenario which had prevailed in the last few months through out the country in relation to the admissions in the first year of the MBBS course for the academic year 2004 – 2005. Needless to state and it is a matter of common experience for all that even after the continuous attention by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the efforts made by the Apex Court in guiding through its judgements all the authorities concerned with the admissions in the medical courses, the confusion and chaos prevailed in each State for the admissions this year, could not be eliminated. The dichotomy was that for the benefit of those innocent millions of students at the threshold of their careers for whose benefit the Apex Court had engaged itself through out during the last few years, had remained the worst victims of the circumstances along with their distress parents.

However, it was felt that this should not dissuade anybody in striving continuously to achieve that ultimate goal of complete certainty and definiteness to be attained in the admission process for medical courses every year.

The General Body of the Council was also informed that the Apex Court has already indicated that it will further pass all such appropriate orders required to be passed for streamlining the admission process for medical courses.

On behalf of the Council, certain suggestions have already been placed before the Apex Court for its kind consideration. Proceeding further with this desire and exploring the possibility of any further action which the Council can take towards achieving this avowed object, the Council decides to authorize the Acting President to constitute a Study Group for this purpose.

The Study Group shall be required to go in depth in each aspect relating to the admission process in all the medical colleges in the country including the aspect of conduct of entrance examinations, its unitary character, fee structure, the mechanism adopted by the appropriate

authorities for filling up of various quotas, either under the laws of the State or as per the orders by the Apex Court from time to time and for ensuring the required transparency and accountability in the entire process.

It should also engage itself for determining effective means for the implementation of the time schedule provided in the Establishment of New Medical Colleges Regulations, 1999 and the time schedule for admissions in the medical courses decided by the Council and approved by the Govt. of India on 14.5.2003.

The Study Group should also be instructed to furnish its report by the end of the year so that if any further action is required to be taken by the Council, the Council is able to do so well before the onset of the admission process for the next academic session 2005 – 2006.

Motion of thanks was moved by Dr. A.K. Patel and was seconded unanimously by the whole House.

5. **Executive Committee – Election of members.**

The General Body nominated Dr. C.V. Bhirmanandham as Returning Officer for conducting the election. After appointing Returning Officer, the General Body requested Dr. C.V. Bhirmanandham to process further.

(i) **University Group:**

To elect four members of the Executive Committee representing University Group vice (i) Dr. P.M. Jadhav, (ii) Dr. G. B. Gupta, (iii) Dr. Prabir Kumar Sur & (iv) Dr. Nitin S. Vora whose term expired on 26-8-2004 as members of the Executive Committee.

The following names were duly proposed and seconded:-

- | | | |
|----|----------------------|---|
| 1. | Dr. Nitin S. Vohra - | Proposed by Dr. Indrajit Ray
Seconded by Dr. D.K. Sharma |
| 2. | Dr. G.B. Gupta - | Proposed by Dr. Mukesh Kr. Sharma
Seconded by Dr. Ajay Kumar |
| 3. | Dr. P.K. Sur - | Proposed by Dr. V.P. Mishra
Seconded by Dr. S.B. Siwach |
| 4. | Dr. S.K. Sharma - | Proposed by Dr. J.R. Kher
Seconded by Dr. G.K. Thakur |

(ii) **Nominated Group:**

To elect three members of the Executive Committee representing Nominated Group vice (i) Dr. K.S. Chugh, (ii) Dr. Ajay Kumar & (iii) Dr. D.K. Sharma whose term expired on 26-8-2004 as members of the Executive Committee.

The following names were duly proposed and seconded:-

1. Dr. D.K. Sharma - Proposed by Dr.Shahjanand Pd.Singh
Seconded by Dr. Mukesh Kr. Sharma
2. Dr. Ajay Kumar - Proposed by Dr. Nitin S. Vohra
Seconded by Dr.P.K. Sur
3. Dr. J.R. Kher - Proposed by Dr. Ved Prakash. Mishra
Seconded by Dr. Subhash J.Penkar

(iii) **R.M.G. Group:**

To elect two members of the Executive Committee representing R.M.G. Group vice (i) Dr. V.K. Puri & (ii) Dr. Mukesh Kumar Sharma whose term expired on 26-8-2004 as members of the Executive Committee.

The following names were duly proposed and seconded:-

1. Dr.Mukesh Kr.Sharma- Proposed by Dr. Ajay Kumar
Seconded by Dr. G.B. Gupta
2. Dr. B.C. Das - Proposed by Dr. Indrajit Ray
Seconded by Dr. P.K. Sur

6. **Representation of the Council on other bodies – Election of –**

(i) **Drugs Technical Advisory Board**

To elect a member of the Council as its representative on Drugs Technical Advisory Board in place of Dr. C.S. Jaya Chandran for a full term of three years, whose term as its representative on Drugs Technical Advisory Board has expired.

Proposed by Dr. Indrajit Ray and seconded by Dr. V. Kanakraj, Dr. C.S. Jayachandran was declared elected unopposed as representative of the Council on Drugs Technical Advisory Board.

(ii) **Central Advisory Board of Education**

To elect a member of the Council as its representative on Central Advisory Board of Education in place of Dr. B.A. Rudrawadi for a full term of three years, whose term as its representative on Central Advisory Board of Education has expired.

Proposed by Dr. S.R. Maralihalli and seconded by Dr. B.A. Rudrawadi, Dr. R. Chandrashekar was declared elected unopposed as representative of the Council on Central Advisory Board of Education.

(iii) **Institute of Applied Manpower Research.**

To elect a member of the Council as its representative on Institute of Applied Manpower Research in place of Dr. Shiv Gautam whose term as Council member has expired.

Proposed by Dr. Mukesh Kr. Sharma and seconded by Dr. G.K. Thakur, Dr. T. Rajshekher Reddy was declared elected unopposed as representative of the Council on Institute of Applied Manpower Research.

(iv) Hariom Ashram Alembic Research Award Fund

To elect a member of the Council as its representative on Hariom Ashram Alembic Research Award Fund for the remaining period upto 23-3-2008 in place of Dr. S.P. Singh whose term as Council member has expired.

Proposed by Dr. Ajay Kumar and seconded by Dr. Shahjanand Pd. Singh, Dr. G.K. Thakur was declared elected unopposed as representative of the Council on Hari Om Ashram Alembic Research Award Fund.

(v) Silver Jubilee Research Award Fund

To elect a member of the Council as its representative on Silver Jubilee Research Award Fund for the remaining period upto 13-2-2006 in place of Dr. B.C. Das whose term as Council member has expired.

Proposed by Dr. Indrajit Ray and seconded by Dr. P.K. Sur, Dr. Samar Deb was declared elected unopposed as representative of the Council on Silver Jubilee Research Award Fund.

(vi) B.C. Roy National Award Fund

To elect two members of the Council as its representative on B.C. Roy National Award Fund. One member for the remaining period upto 26-8-2007 in place of Dr. G. Sham Sunder and one member for a full term of Five years in place of Dr. P.K. Mukherjee whose term as Council member has expired.

The following names were duly proposed and seconded:

- | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| 1. Dr. R.N. Sony | - | Proposed by Dr. Pramod Singh
Seconded by Dr. G.B. Gupta |
| 2. Dr. D.J. Borah | - | Proposed by Dr. P.K. Sur
Seconded by Dr. Haresh Bhalodiya |

Dr. R.N. Sony and Dr. D.J. Borah were declared elected unopposed as representatives of the Council on Dr. B.C. Roy National Award Fund.

(vii) Dr. Aken Kumar G. Desai Memorial Endowment Fund

To elect three members of the Council as its representative on Dr. Aken Kumar G. Desai Memorial Endowment Fund in place of Dr. B.P. Dubey, Dr. P.K. Patel and Dr. P.M. Jadhav whose term as Council member have expired/ceased.

The following names were duly proposed and seconded:

- | | |
|----------------------------|---|
| 1. Dr. Bhavin S. Kothari - | Proposed by Dr. P.M. Shah
Seconded by Dr. Nitin S. Vohra |
| 2. Dr. Pramod Singh - | Proposed by Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra
Seconded by Dr. Mukesh Kr. Sharma |
| 3. Dr. S.C. Mahapatra - | Proposed by Dr. G.K. Thakur
Seconded by Dr. Ajay Kumar |

Dr. Bhavin S. Kothari, Dr. Pramod Singh and Dr. S.C. Mahapatra were declared elected unopposed as representatives of the Council on Dr. Aken Kumar G. Desai Memorial Endowment Fund.

7. I/ **Kakatiya University – Recognition of M.D.(SPM) & DPH qualification in respect of students being trained at Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal.**
- II) **Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal for the award of M.D.(SPM) & DPH.**

Read: The Council Inspector's report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal for purpose of i) recognition of MD(SPM) & DPH qualification granted by Kakatiya University and also approval of the college for the award of MD(SPM) & DPH qualification granted by Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that –

- (i) MD(SPM) & DPH qualifications granted by Osmania University in respect of students being trained at Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956.
- (ii) Kakatiya medical College, Warangal be approved for the award MD(SPM) & DPH qualifications granted by Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admission to 1 (one) for degree & 1 (one) for diploma student per year .”

8. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Recognition of M.Ch. (Paediatrics Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at St. John's Medical College, Bangalore.**

Read: The Council Inspector's report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at St. John's Medical College, Bangalore for purpose of recognition of M.Ch.(Paed. Surgery) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that M.Ch.(Paediatrics Surgery) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore in respect of students being trained at St. John's Medical College, Bangalore be recognised and included in the first Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1 (one) student per year.”

9. I/ Madurai University & Madurai Kamraj University - Recognition of DPM qualification in respect of students being trained at Madurai Medical College, Madurai.

II. The Tamilnadu Dr. MGR Medical University, Chennai - Approval of Madurai Medical College, Madurai for the award of DPM qualification .

Read: The Council Inspector's report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Madurai Medical College, Madurai for purpose of i) recognition of DPM qualification granted by Madurai University & Madurai Kamraj University and also (ii) approval of the college for the award of DPM qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that –

- I. DPM qualification granted by Madurai University & Madurai Kamraj University be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956.
- II. Madurai Medical College, Madurai be approved for the award of DPM qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

10. i) Bharathidasan University – Recognition of DMRD qualification in respect of students being trained at Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur.

ii) Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur for the award of DMRD qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector's report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur for purpose of i) recognition of DMRD qualification granted by Bharathidasan University and also ii) approval of the college for the award of DMRD qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that -

- i) DMRD qualification granted by Bharathidasan University in respect of students being trained at Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956.
- ii) Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur be approved the award of DMRD qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the non-availability of MRI machine and other observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report for implementation by the college authorities.”

11. i) Gulbarga University/Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore - Approval of Vijayanagar Institute of Medical Sciences, Bellary for the award of M.S. (Ophthalmology) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector's report (Nov., 2003) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Vijayanagar Institute of Medical Sciences, Bellary together with compliance for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification earlier granted by Gulbarga University and now by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (November, 2003) and decided to recommend that Vijayanagar Institute of Medical Sciences, Bellary be approved for the award of MS (Ophthalmology) qualification earlier granted by Gulbarga University and now by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) for MS (Ophthalmology) qualification per year.”

12. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore - – Recognition of M.D. (Dermatology/Dermatology, Vernereology & Leprosy) qualification in respect of students being trained at St. John’s Medical College, Bangalore.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at St. John’s Medical College, Bangalore together with compliance for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Dermatology/Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Dermatology/Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore in respect of students being trained at St. John’s Medical College, Bangalore be recognized and included in the first Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1 (one) student per year.”

13. i) Bharthiar University – Recognition of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification in respect of Coimbatore Medical College, Coimbatore.

ii) Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr.M.G.R. Medical University, Tamilnadu – Approval of Coimbatore Medical College, Coimbatore for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification – Consideration of compliance verification regarding.

Read: The compliance verification report (May,2004) together with compliance and Council Inspector’s report (March,2003) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Coimbatore Medical College, Coimbatore for purpose of i) recognition of MD(General Medicine) qualification granted by Bharathiar University and and also ii) approval of the college for the award of MD(General Medicine) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

- (i) “MD (General Medicine) qualification granted by Bharathiar University in respect of students being trained at Coimbatore Medical College, Coimbatore be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956.

- (ii) Coimbatore Medical College, Coimbatore be approved for the award MD(General Medicine) qualification granted by Madras University and the Tamilnadu Dr. MGR Medical University, Chennai.
The Committee further decided to direct the institution authorities prospectively to restrict the number of admissions to 12 (twelve) students per year in MD (General Medicine) course as there are six units in the department.

The Committee further decided to draw the attention to the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

14. Himachal Pradesh University – Recognition of D.A. qualification in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (July, 2004) on standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla for purpose of recognition of DA qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University and also approve the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (July, 2004) and decided to recommend that D.A. qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla be recognized and included in the first Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 3 (three) students per year.”

15. Banaras Hindu University – Recognition of M.Ch. (Urology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Institute of Medical Sciences, Varanasi.

Read: The compliance verification report (July, 2004) together with compliance and Council Inspector’s report (February, 2001) on standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Institute of Medical Sciences, Varanasi for purpose of recognition of M.Ch. (Urology) qualification granted by Banaras Hindu University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (July, 2004) together with compliance and Council Inspector’s report (February, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.Ch (Urology) qualification granted by Banaras Hindu University in respect of students being trained at Institute of Medical Sciences, Varanasi be recognized and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (Two) students per year.”

16. i) **Bharathidasan University – Recognition of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification in respect of students being trained at Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur.**
- ii) **Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector's report (March, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur for purpose of i) recognition of M.D. (Biochemistry) qualification granted by Bharathidasa University and and also ii) approval of the college for the award of M.D. (Biochemistry) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (March, 2004) and decided to recommend that-

- i) MD(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Bharathidasan University in respect of students being trained at Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur be recognised and included in the 1st schedule to the IMC Act, 1956.
- ii) Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur be approved for the award of MD(Biochemistry) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai. restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.”

17. **Mahatma Gandhi University – Recognition of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Kottayam.**

Read: The Council Inspector report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Medical College, Kottayam for purpose of recognition of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification granted by Mahatma Gandhi University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that M.Ch.(Urology) qualification granted by Mahatma Gandhi University in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Kottayam be recognised

and included in the first Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

18. Kashmir University – Recognition of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification in respect of students being trained at Govt. Medical College, Srinagar.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Govt. Medical College, Srinagar for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Kashmir University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (March, 2004) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Kashmir University in respect of students being trained at Govt. Medical College, Srinagar be recognised and included in the first Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.”

19. Andhra Universtiy, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for purpose of approval of the college for the award of MD(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Andhra Universtiy, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada be approved for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) granted by Andhra Universtiy, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

20. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of MD(Pathology) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (May, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of

MD(Pathology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2004) and decided to recommend that Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of MD(Pathology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

21. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Sri Siddhartha Medical College, Tumkur for the award of D.A. qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (May, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sri Siddhartha Medical College, Tumkur for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.A. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2004) and decided to recommend that Sri Siddhartha Medical College, Tumkur be approved for the award of D.A. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

22. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for the award of MD(Paediatrics) & DCH qualifications in respect of increased intake capacity.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (May, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at MS Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of MD(Paediatrics) & DCH qualifications granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore in respect of increased intake capacity.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2004) and noted that institution already stands approved for the award of MD(Paediatrics) & DCH qualifications and the matter under consideration is for the approval of the institution for increased intake subsequently permitted by the Government.

The Committee decided to direct the institution authorities prospectively to restrict the number of admissions to 2 (two) students for degree and 2 (two) students for diploma courses per year commensurate with the clinical material.”

23. Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai- Approval of Stanley Medical College, Chennai for the award of D.A. qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Stanley Medical College, Chennai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of DA qualification granted by Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that Stanley Medical College, Chennai be approved for the award of DA qualification granted by Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admissions to 12 (twelve) students per year.”

24. The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of P.S.G. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore for the award of D.C.H. qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (Oct., 2003) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at PSG Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore togetherwith compliance for purpose of approval of the college for the award of DCH qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai u/s 10A of the IMC Act, 1956.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (Oct., 2003) and decided to recommend that PSG Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore be approved for the award of DCH qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai. Restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

25. University of Delhi – Approval of Army Hospital, Delhi Cantt., Delhi for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (Oct., 2003) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Army Hospital, Delhi Cantt., Delhi togetherwith compliance for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Delhi University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (Oct., 2003) togetherwith compliance for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Delhi University and decided to recommend that Army Hospital, Delhi Cantt, Delhi be approved the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

26. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for the award of D.A. qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (May,2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.A. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May,2004) and decided to recommend that M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore be approved for the award of D.A. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Committee further decided to direct the institution authorities to prospectively restrict the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.”

27. Kerala University – Approval of T.D. Medical College, Alappuzha for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification .

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (June/July, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at T.D. Medical College, Alappuzha for purpose of approval of the college for the award of MD(Pathology) qualification granted by Kerala University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June/July, 2004) and decided to recommend that T.D. Medical College, Alappuzha be approved for the award of MD(Pathology) qualification granted by Kerala University restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

28. Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot- Approval of Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification.

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (March, 2004) together with compliance and Council Inspector's report (Oct., 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (March, 2004) together with compliance and Council Inspector's report (Oct., 2001) and decided to recommend that Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot be approved for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Committee further decided to direct the institution authorities to prospectively restrict the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

29. **Nagpur University – Approval of JLN Medical College, Swangi, Wardha for the award of MS (General Surgery) qualification.**

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (July, 2004) together with compliance and Council Inspector's report (Nov., 2003) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at JLN Medical College, Swangi, Wardha for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification granted by Nagpur University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (July, 2004) together with compliance and Council Inspector's report (Nov., 2003) and decided to recommend that JLN Medical College, Swangi, Wardha be approved for the award of MS(General Surgery) qualification granted by Nagpur University restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.”

30. **The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of P.S.G. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore for the award of D.G.O. qualification.**

Read: The compliance verification report (March, 2004) together with compliance and Council Inspector's report (Oct., 2003) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at P.S.G. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.G.O. qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (March, 2004) togetherwith compliance and Council Inspector’s report (Oct., 2003) and decided to recommend that P.S.G. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore be approved for the award of DGO qualification granted by the Tamilnadu Dr. MGR Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

31. Saurashtra University – Approval of Pt. Deendayal Upadhyay Medical College, Rajkot for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector report (May,2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Pt. Deendayal Upadhyay Medical College, Rajkot for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Saurashtra University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (May,2004) and decided to recommend that Pt. Deendayal Upadhyay Medical College, Rajkot be approved for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Saurashtra University restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.

The attention of the authorities concerned be drawn towards the observations made by the Council Inspector specially with regard to standard of examination.”

32. Panjab University – Approval of Govt. Medical College, Chandigarh for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector report (Feb.,2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Govt. Medical College, Chandigarh for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Panjab University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (February,2004) and decided to recommend that Govt. Medical College, Chandigarh be approved for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Panjab University restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.”

33. **Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati / Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector report (May,2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (May,2001) and decided to recommend that S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be approved for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification earlier granted by Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

34. **University of Delhi – Approval of Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi for the award of D.L.O. qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.L.O. qualification granted by University of Delhi.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi be approved for the award of D.L.O. qualification granted by University of Delhi restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.

The attention of the authorities concerned be drawn towards implementation of observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

35. **University of Delhi - Approval of University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for the award of M.D.(Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification .**

Read: The Council Inspector report (May,2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification granted by University of Delhi.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (May, 2004) and decided to recommend that University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi be approved for the award of M.D.(Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification granted by University of Delhi restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

36. University of Delhi - Approval of Army Hospital Delhi Cantt., Delhi for the award of M.S. (Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualifications.

Read: The Council Inspector report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Army Hospital Delhi Cantt., Delhi for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualifications granted by University of Delhi.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that Army Hospital Delhi Cantt., Delhi be approved for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualifications granted by University of Delhi restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students each per year for MS (Ophthalmology) and DO qualifications.”

37. The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of P.S.G. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore for the award of D.P.M. qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report(April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at P.S.G. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.P.M. qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report(April, 2004) and decided to recommend that P.S.G. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore be approved for award of D.P.M. qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical

University, Chennai restricting the number of admission to 1 (one) student per year.

The attention of the authorities concerned be drawn towards the conduct of examination and other observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

38. Calcutta University – Approval of R.G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata for the award of M.D.(T.B. & Resp. Diseases) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector report (April,2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at R.G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(T.B. & Resp. Diseases) qualification granted by Calcutta University

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (April,2004) and decided to recommend that R.G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata be approved for the award of M.D.(T.B. & Resp. Diseases) qualification granted by Calcutta University restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

39. Schedule for receipt of the applications for opening Postgraduate Medical Courses in medical colleges / institutions and to increase the admission capacity in postgraduate medical courses and processing of the application by the Central Govt./Medical Council of India.

Read: The schedule of admissions in accordance with the Madhu Singh’s judgment for the postgraduate courses effected from academic session 2004-05, the concerned academic session shall commence from Ist May, 2004.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“In view of the same, the time schedule for receipt of the applications for opening postgraduate courses in medical colleges/institutions and to increase the admission capacity in postgraduate medical courses and processing of the application by the Central Government and Medical Council of India has to be re-scheduled as under:-

Sl.No	State of processing	Existing Schedule	Proposed schedule
1.	Receipt of applications by the Central Government	Ist to 31 st January	Ist to 31 st March
2.	Forwarding of applications by Central Government to Medical Council of India for technical scrutiny.	Ist to 28 th February	Ist to 30 th April

3.	Medical Council of India to communicate its recommendations to Central Government for issue of Letter of Intent.	Ist March to 31 st May	Ist May to 31 st July
4.	Issue of Letter of Intent by Central Government.	Between Ist June to 30 th June.	Ist August to 31 st August.
5.	Applicant institution to send its reply to Central Government requesting for Letter of Permission.	Between Ist July to 31 st July.	Ist September to 30 th September.
6.	Receipt of Letter from Central Government by the Medical Council of India for consideration for issue of Letter of Permission.	Between 1-16 th August.	Between Ist to 16 th October.
7.	Recommendation of Medical Council of India to Central Government for issue of Letter of Permission.	17 th August to 30 th November.	17 th October to 31 st January.
8.	Issue of Letter of Permission by Central Government.	Between Ist December to 31 st December.	Between Ist February to 28 th /29 th February

The appended Note to the Schedule shall read-

- (1) In case the Letter of Permission is issued by the Central Government on/or before 28th February of a particular year, the said Letter of Permission shall be effective for the admissions to be made in that Academic year. Any letter of Permission issued by the Central Government after Ist March shall be effective for the admissions to be made in the next Academic session.
- (2) For the purpose of this Schedule, "Academic Year" means the period from Ist May to 30th April.

The recommendations be forwarded to the Govt. of India for appropriate notification of the same."

40. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector report (May,2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (May,2004) and decided to recommend that Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur be approved for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1 (one) student per year.”

41. i) **University of Bombay/Mumbai – Approval of T.N. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.D.(Skin & V.D.) & D.V.D. qualifications.**
- ii) **Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Approval of T.N.Medical College, Mumbai for the award of D.V.D. qualification – Compliance regarding.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai together with compliance for purpose of i) approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Skin & V.D.) & D.V.D. qualifications granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and ii) D.V.D. qualification earlier granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and now by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) together with compliance and decided to recommend that -

- (i) T.N.Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of M.D.(Skin & V.D.) & D.V.D. qualifications granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students for degree and 1(one) student for diploma courses per year prospectively.
- (ii) T.N.Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of D.V.D. qualification earlier granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and now by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

42. **Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai- Approval of Stanley Medical College, Chennai for the award of D.L.O. qualification .**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report(April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Stanley Medical College, Chennai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of

D.L.O. qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that Stanley Medical College, Chennai be approved for the award of D.L.O. qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

43. Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai- Approval of Kilpauk Medical College, Chennai for the award of D.C.H. qualification .

Read: The Council Inspector’s report(April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kilpauk Medical College, Chennai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.C.H. qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that Kilpauk Medical College, Chennai be approved for the award of D.C.H. qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admissions to 4 (four) students per year.”

44. Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for the award of M.D.(Pathology) & D.C.P. qualifications.

Read: The compliance verification report(April, 2004) togetherwith compliance and Council Inspector’s report (October, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Pathology) & D.C.P. qualifications earlier granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now by N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report(April, 2004) togetherwith compliance and Council Inspector’s

report (October, 2002) and decided that S.V.Medical College, Tirupati be approved for the award of M.D.(Pathology) & D.C.P. qualifications restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students for degree and 2(two) students for diploma courses per year.

However, the attention of the authorities concerned be drawn towards implementation of various observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

45. University of Delhi - Approval of Army Hospital Delhi Cantt., Delhi for the award of M.D. (Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications.

Read: The Council Inspector report (April, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Army Hospital Delhi Cantt., Delhi for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications granted by University of Delhi.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (April, 2004) and decided to recommend that Army Hospital Delhi Cantt., Delhi be approved for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications granted by University of Delhi restricting the number of admissions to 3 (three) students for degree and 2 (two) students for diploma course per year.”

46. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Recognition of various postgradate qualifications being changed of nomenclature in already recognised concerned subjects.

Read: The letter dated nil from the Registrar, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore regarding inclusion of changed nomenclature in the Scheduled to the IMC Act, 1956.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee noted the letter dated Nil from the Registrar, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore regarding inclusion of postgraduate degrees by changed nomenclature and decided to recommend recognition of the following degrees granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore, by changed nomenclature of the already recognised degrees as prescribed by the MCI for its inclusion in the Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956:-

Changed nomenclature as prescribed by MCI	Recognition status as per MCI record
M.S.(Obst. & Gynae.)	R by M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.)
M.D.(Anatomy)	R by M.S.(Anatomy)
M.D.(Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy)	R by M.D.(Derm.Ven.)
D.D.	R by D.V.D.”

47. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.S.Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for the award of D.O. qualification in respect of increased intake of seats.

Read: The Council Inspector's report (May,2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at M.S. Ramaiah Medical College Bangalore for approval of the college for the award of D.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore in respect of increased intake from 1(one) to 2 (two).

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (May,2004) and decided to recommend that M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore be approved for the award of D.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore in respect of increased intake of seats from 1(one) to 2(two) per year.”

48. Recognition of the already recognised qualification by the changed nomenclature of M.S.(Tuberculosis & Chest/Resp. Diseases) earlier granted by Bombay University and now by Mumbai University in respect of Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai.

Read: The letter dated 12.3.2004 from the Principal, Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai regarding recognition of degree by the change the nomenclature from the already recognised MD (Tuberculosis) to M.D.(T.B. & Chest/Resp. Diseases) granted by Bombay University/Mumbai University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the letter dated 12.3.2004 from the Principal, Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai and noted that the University of Mumbai has changed the nomenclature from the already recognised MD (Tuberculosis) to M.D.(T.B. & Chest/Resp. Diseases) and decided to recommend that M.D.(T.B. & Chest/Resp. Diseases) qualification granted by Bombay University/Mumbai University be recognised and included in the Ist Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 with the changed nomenclature.”

49. Recognition of M.D.(Radiology) qualification granted by Rajashtan University in respect of students being trained at SMS Medical College, Jaipur - amendment in notification regarding.

Read: The letter dated 14.6.2004 from the Principal, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur regarding amendment in the date of recognition of MD

(Radiology) qualification granted by Rajasthan University in the scheduled to the IMC Act, 1956.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered letter dated 14.6.2004 from the Principal, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur and decided to recommend for modification in the proviso of the already recognised MD (Radiology) qualification granted by Rajasthan University in respect of SMS Medical College, Jaipur i.e. “this qualification shall be recognised medical qualification when granted on or before April,1983” instead of 30.4.1979.”

50. Postgraduate Medical Education reforms – consideration of representations & minutes of sub-committee regarding.

Read: The minutes of the Sub-Committee with regard to certain essential observations for consideration to the “Postgraduate Medical Education Committee.” as approved by the Postgraduate Committee.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

The Postgraduate Committee approved the following report of the Sub-Committee:-

“The present Committee was constituted by the Postgraduate Medical Education Committee of Medical Council of India for the purposes of making a detailed report with reference to the suggestions made by Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra, Chairman, PG Committee, Dr. S. B. Siwach, Dr. S. K. Sinha and Dr. S. R. Maralihalli, Members of the Action Committee and to propose an Action Plan on the basis of the same. The Sub-Committee had its meeting on 07.06.2004 wherein it perused the observations brought out by the above mentioned Members of the Postgraduate Committee and on detailed deliberation, it is pleased to propose undermentioned tasks required to be undertaken by the Postgraduate Committee in due discharge of its statutory obligations in the larger interests of the Postgraduate Medical Education in the country :-

1. It be ensured that the list of the permitted courses with sanctioned intake in the concerned medical colleges along with their approval/recognition status should be worked out in the form of a compendium and should be programmed in a manner to display the same on the website of the Medical Council of India for the information of all concerned.
2. A manpower survey of subject wise Postgraduate teachers duly recognized by the examining university be readied and programmed for public display ensuring that the same is updated periodically which could be one of the modalities to be availed so as to ensure that 1 : 1 ratio between the Postgraduate teacher and the registered student is strictly maintained.
3. It be ensured that the applicant colleges that have been granted permission for starting of the postgraduate course under Section 10(A) of the Act, make an application for its approval /recognition under Section 11 (2) in time, because the permission so granted is subject to the condition that the applicant college is duty bounded to get the course inspected by the Council when first admitted batch is due for the University examination. This is self evident that the failure of the college seeking approval at the stipulated point of time, disentitles him from making any admissions after a period of three years from the date of starting of the degree course and two years from the date of starting of diploma course. This should be specifically incorporated in the recommendations made by the Committee and accordingly be included in the letter issued by the Council to the concerned authorities.

4. The Declaration Forms of the PG Teachers submitted by the applicant college at the time of inspection need to be scrupulously scrutinized so as to identify any fallacy in the said Declaration Form that has been incorporated by the concerned teacher. Harsh punitive action should be taken against those teachers who may be found to be working in more than one institution at the same point of time.

For this purpose, a scheme may be worked out whereby each postgraduate teacher be allotted a specific Registration No. by the Council to avoid crises of identity of the concerned teacher and also probable duplication.

5. The recognition to a postgraduate course should not be lifetime but for a specified period of 5 years. Renewal of recognition of PG Course through periodic inspection should be incorporated as a permanent phenomenon.
6. PG Inspection needs to be made more stringent. The inspector must be a Professor and HOD and should have at least 5 years experience as Professor.
7. Surprise inspections, at a short notice, should be carried out for checking the ground realities.
8. All the admitting authorities for the postgraduate courses be directed that in the admission notices they shall be indicating specifically the recognized status or otherwise of the postgraduate degree or diploma so as to ensure that the students seeking admission is well aware of the same. The admitting authorities should furnish a copy of their admission notice to the Council well in advance.
9. Transparency of admission procedures - To bring transparency in the admission procedure in different PG Courses, a national level entrance test covering all the medical colleges/institutions of the country would be ideal. This will lead to uniformity in admission procedure and will help to eliminate the element of commercialization in admission to PG Courses.
10. It must be ensured that no excess admission in any PG Course takes place in any institution in the country. Violations, if any, should be dealt in accordance with the penal scheme, in the light of Madhu Singh's Judgement.
11. A vast number of postgraduate degrees and diplomas that are in offing are not recognized and the concerned institutions and the affiliating Universities do not venture in seeking recognition for them by making an application under Section 11 (2) of the Act. It is, therefore, necessary that PG Committee directs all the concerned medical colleges/institutions running the postgraduate degree or diploma courses that they should get the same recognized under Section 11 (2) of the Act within the stipulated period preferably not beyond one year from the date of notification.
12. **PG Curriculum** – PG Curriculum and its adoption in letter and spirit by all the universities may be ensured.
13. Bed strength in clinical departments like Dermatology, Ophthalmology, Psychiatry and ENT may be 20 per unit in case of PG Courses.
14. Accreditation of PG Courses with formulation of necessary details ought to be incorporated."

51 K.S. Hegde Medical College, Mangalore – Approval of the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (27th & 28th April, 2004) carried out to verify the compliance submitted by the college authorities on the deficiencies pointed out in the earlier inspection report (24th & 25th March, 2004) and also approve the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council considered the compliance verification inspection report (27th & 28th April, 2004) and decided to recommend that K.S. Hedge Medical Academy, Managalore be approved for the award of MBBS degree granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore with an annual intake of 100(hundred) students annually.”

52. Continuance of recognition of MBBS degree granted by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik in respect of students being trained at D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur.

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (07th July, 2004.) for Continuance of recognition of MBBS degree granted by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik in respect of students being trained at D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Ad-hoc Committee and of the Executive Committee of the Council considered the compliance verification inspection report (07th July, 2004) and decided to continue the recognition of MBBS degree granted by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik in respect of students being trained at D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur.”

53. B.J.Medical College, Ahmedabad – Approval of the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by Gujarat University for the increased number of seats i.e. 210 to 250.

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (7th & 8th July 2004) for approval of the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by Gujarat University for the increased number of seats i.e. 210 to 250 at B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council considered the compliance verification inspection report (7th & 8th July , 2004) alongwith the previous inspection report (March 2003) and decided to recommend that B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad be approved for the award of MBBS degree granted by Gujarat University against the increased number of seats i.e. 210 to 250.”

54. Continuance of recognition of MBBS degree granted by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Guntur Medical College, Guntur.

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (12th & 13th Feb., 2004) for continuance of recognition of MBBS degree granted by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijaywada in respect of students being trained at Guntur Medical College, Guntur.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted that the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 13.3.2003 decided to issue a show cause notice to the concerned authorities as to why steps should not be initiated to recommend to the Central Government to de-recognise the institution for the award of MBBS degree granted by NTR university of Health Sciences as per provision of Section 19(2) of the IMC Act, 1956 for not submitting the proper compliance on the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report and further noted that one month time was granted to the institution to respond to the show cause notice.

The Committee further noted that the compliance report received from the DME, Andhra Pradesh was considered by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 2.6.2003 wherein it was decided to verify the same by way of an inspection. Accordingly, an inspection to verify the compliance was carried out on 12th & 13th Feb., 2004.

The members of the Adhoc Committee and of the Executive Committee of the Council considered the inspection report (12th & 13th Feb., 2004) and noted that the deficiencies are still persisting as under:-

1. The shortage of teaching faculty is 15.8 % (i.e.34 out of 215) as under:-

- a) Professor : 1 (Dentistry)
- b) Associate Professor : 11 (Anatomy 2, Physiology - 2, Pharmacology -2, TB & Chest-1, Ortho-1, RD-2, Dental -1)
- c) Assistant Professor : 14 (Anatomy-4, Physiology-2, Microbiology-1, PSM-5, Anaesthesia-1, and RD -1)
- d) Tutor : 6 (Pharma-4, and FM-2)

2. Though the total number of beds are more than 750 the distribution of beds is not as per Council norms as Paediatrics has got only 75 beds against 90 required. Teaching facilities are inadequate. Treatment room is not available in any ward. Proper demarcation of units is not provided. Paediatrics ward is over crowded and space between beds is very narrow. Teaching areas are not available in Ophthalmology, ENT etc. Unit distribution in Paediatrics, TB & Chest, Psychiatry,

Orthopaedics, Ophthalmology is not as per Council norms since the institution is running postgraduate courses also.

3. In the OPDs of major departments like Medicine, Surgery, Paediatrics, Obstetrics & Gynaecology and Orthopaedics, space for teaching area is inadequate. Facilities like blackboard, X-ray view box etc. are not provided. Class rooms and demonstration rooms are not available for clinical departments. Ward side labs are not available for Medicine department. Microscope is not available in STD OPD. In Surgery OPD cubicles are provided with temporary partition. Clinical Psychologist is not available. There is a common room for plaster application and plaster cutting where running water is not available.
4. In the casualty area, only 10 beds are provided. Central oxygen or suction is not available. Only one Pulse Oximeter and one nebuliser are available. No other resuscitation equipment is provided. Duty room for CMO is too small. No room for resident doctors is provided.
5. In the central laboratory, there is no common sample collection area.
6. Medical Record Department is not computerised.
7. Central Laundry : There is a mechanized laundry available with four hydro extractor and two washer. Drier is not functional.
8. Incinerator is not available.
9. Blood Bank : License for the blood bank expired in 1997. 10 to 20 units of blood is being collected and 15 to 20 units are being distributed daily, which is not commensurate with the workload desired. It is not under the control of Professor and Head of Pathology.
10. **Available Paramedical staff is as under:**
Laboratory Technicians : 36
Laboratory Assistant : 17
Laboratory Attendants : 20
There is a shortage of 8 technicians, 13 assistants, and 15 attenders.
11. **Nursing staff :**
Nursing Superintendent 01
Deputy Nursing Superintendent : 01
Nursing Tutors : 09
Asstt. Nursing Superintendent : -Nil-
Nursing Sisters : 46
Staff nurses : 183
Total : 240

There is a gross deficiency of Nursing staff for a hospital with a bed strength of 1177.
12. Auditorium is under construction.

13. Hostel accommodation for boys and girls is inadequate as accommodation is available for only 340 students against 750 required as under :

Hostels	No.	Rooms	Capacity	Furnished Yes/No	Mess Yes/No
Boys	1	74	146	Yes	Yes
Girls	1	94	194	Yes	Yes
Interns	1	40	50	Yes	Yes
Residents	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
P.G.	1	41	41	Yes	Yes
Nurses	1	85	160	Yes	Yes

Hostel : Hostel accommodation is inadequate.

Boys	Outside campus	At a distance of 05 kms.
Girls	Outside campus	At a distance of 01 kms.

14. In the Central library, total number of journals subscribed is only 35 against 100 required. Internet and medlar facilities are not available
15. No residential accommodation is available for teaching and non-teaching staff.
16. At RHTC, no lecturers cum medical officers having MD (PSM) qualification is posted. Mess is not available.
17. No practical laboratory in Forensic Medicine.
18. Delivery services are not provided at UHC.
19. Blood bank has to be brought under Pathology department.
20. Sufficient number of lockers are not provided in the department of Anatomy.
21. In the department of Physiology, no couch is available.
18. DNB students – one each in Orthopaedics and Obstetrics & Gynaecology are admitted for 2003-2004.
19. Teachers in clinical departments are not provided with adequate space to sit.
25. Other deficiencies/remarks are in the report.

In view of above, the members of the Ad-hoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council decided to recommend to the Council to withdraw the recognition of MBBS degree granted by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Guntur Medical College, Guntur u/s 19 of the I.M.C. Act, 1956."

55. Equivalence to Biotechnology course with Biology at +2 level.

Read: The report of the Sub-Committee constituted as per the decision of the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 03rd February 2004 with regard to Equivalence to Biotechnology course with Biology at +2 level.

The Council considered the matter and did not agree for equivalency to Biotechnology course with Biology at +2 level.

56. Report of the Sub-Committee with regard to uniform procedure in medical institutions for examining visually handicapped students.

Read: The report of the sub-Committee with regard to uniform procedure in medical institutions for examining visually handicapped students.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council accepted the report as under and decided to place this before the General Body of the Council:-

1. “ The Hon’ble Supreme Court in its judgment dated 18th September, 2002 vide Civil Appeal No. 4604 of 2000 with CA No. 7892 of 2001 decided as under:-

“It may be noticed that the Medical Council of India who issues guidelines for admission to the medical courses in the country, infact, had taken a decision on 5.11.1999 indicating that there cannot be any reservation for admission into the MBBS course and postgraduate medical course for disabled persons, as provided in Section 39 of the Act. This decision, however, appears to have been reversed by the subsequent resolution of MCI dated 5.7.2001. Under the resolution dated 5.7.2001 it has been unequivocally indicated that 3% reservation for physically handicapped persons for admission into the medical courses should be followed excluding, however, for those who are visually handicapped and hearing defects.”

2. The Medical Council of India in its General Body meeting held on 21/22nd June, 2001 while considering the various Sub-Committees meeting of MCI, inter-alia, the categories of people under the disabilities as classified under the Act covers the following three categories :-

1. Visual Handicapped
2. Persons suffering from hearing defects
3. Physically handicapped with the locomotory disorders.

The 3% of reservation under the above 3 categories has been apportioned as 1% under each category u/s 33 of the 1956 Act. It was also noted that the Govt. of Tamil Nadu in their G.O. No.137 dated 29.1.1990 had also fixed the same percentage of reservation for these categories for admission to MBBS/Engineering etc. courses.

The Council further noted that the Sub-Committee in the same meeting had also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta in its judgement (case No. MAT.NO.3105 of 1998 & C.A. No.7514 of 1998) had also agreed upon the apportioning of the reservation under the above three categories in respect of the posts under Government. The same logic is applied for reservation for educational institutions and also taking guidelines adopted by the Govt. of Tamil Nadu, the Committee was of the opinion that such apportioning of reservation is both logical and sustainable in the court of Law.

The Committee has concluded that the visually handicapped is not in a position to pursue the medical course and do the internship, as corrected vision is absolutely necessary for the study and for the practice of Medicine.

The hearing impairment will interfere with the training in medical education since the process of hearing of various signs and auscultation is absolutely essential to pursue the medical training and to follow the medical practice.

In view of the above observations the visually handicapped and hearing disabled should be deleted from this category and they should be considered invalid for admission in the MBBS.

Among the locomotory disabled the upper limb should be functional & normal as it is required to elicit sign during clinical examination and finer movements are desired for conduct of surgical procedure. Again the feeling and the sensation are important for clinical diagnosis and the treatment and locomotory disabled involving upper limb should be considered not eligible for admission to the professional medical course. The locomotory disabled involving the lower limb is permissible but it should be with the following guidelines:-

- 1 If it involves only one lower limb it should have a minimum of 40% and should not exceed 60%.
- 2 If it involves both the lower limbs the total disability should not exceed 60% with a minimum of 40%.

The Disability certificate should be produced by a duly constituted and authorized Medical Board.

The candidates should have minimum eligibility criteria as per MCI guidelines. The candidates should be otherwise fit medically.

Those candidates who are aspiring to get seats under this reservation category should apply specifically along with the valid disability certificate.

In case there are not enough number of candidates who qualify themselves for such number of reserved seats the remaining seats should be merged with the respective category of existing reservation.

3. A previous Sub-Committee of MCI met on 24.1.2003 at 2.30 p.m. and discussed the various issues pertaining to visually handicapped persons to be examined, evaluated and awarded MBBS degree. The Committee considered the following broad headings:-

1. Admission of visually handicapped persons for MBBS.
2. The time of onset of blindness and continuation of their curriculum from thereon.
3. Methods of training, assessment and evaluation of such handicapped persons at various stages of their curriculum.
4. Method of giving them the training during the internship prior to the award of MBBS degree.
5. Analysing the avenues of employment for visually handicapped candidates after awarding them the degree.
6. Any restrictions for visually handicapped doctors in clinical practice.

The Committee is of the view that the sympathetic consideration to a handicapped person should not be at the expense of causing risk to the society or its members. In the event of allegations arising from the mis-diagnosis, wrong medicines prescriptions and the eventual casualties, many litigations are filed under the Consumer Forum in various States. In these circumstances, allowing the visually handicapped persons to practice medicine and prescribe drug will cause enormous harm to the public and will bring the entire profession into disrepute. They will and also raise questions about the validity of the norms adopted for registration of such candidates by the competent authorities. Though National Human Rights Commission has quoted instances wherein blind persons are being appointed as Lecturers and performing their duties satisfactorily; these are only exceptional cases and cannot be made basis for framing new rules to enable blind persons to be medical professionals.

1. Admission of visually handicapped persons for MBBS:

It is mandatory that the students who are selected to join MBBS course should undergo an eye examination by a qualified Ophthalmologist and must be certified to have best corrected visual activity of 6/9 in each eye. In case of one-eyed persons, the best corrected visual activity should be 6/6. The candidate should be able to identify the three primary colours.

2. The time of onset blindness and continuation of their curriculum from thereon:

Retention of normal vision is an absolute need for undergoing the training in medical curriculum unlike in other streams of education like Arts, and Science. Medicine is a course where perfect vision is the absolute need. The Committee recommends that a candidate who becomes visually challenged after having been admitted to the course and completed to a reasonable extent his clinical training may still be considered fit for assessment and final examination. However, if the candidate develops visual challenge before acquiring reasonable amount of knowledge and skill in clinical and basic sciences he/she should be discharged from the course.

3. Method of imparting training during the internship prior to the award of MBBS degree:
During the period of internship the student requires to learn skills and knowledge in the actual management of patients in various disciplines of Medicine and Surgery, and as such presence of normal vision is essential for internship training. In case of visually handicapped, the training programme would need to be modified depending upon the severity of handicap. The component of patient care may be reduced in order to minimize the potential of harm arising from the handicap. At the same time, the candidate would be encouraged by faculty and the resident staff to achieve maximum knowledge and skills within the constraints of his vision.

4. Analysing the avenues of employment for such of those candidates after awarding them the degree:

Such of the visually challenged individuals who have successfully completed their studies and internship would become eligible for employment in those areas which do not include patient care either directly or indirectly. The Committee feels from the instances quoted by National Human Rights Commission they may be accommodated in areas such as teaching, research and counseling.

5. Recommendations to Medical Council of India:
When the Medical Council of India or respective State Medical Councils issue the medical registration certificate to such of these candidates who are visually handicapped should clearly mention in the registration certificate itself that they are debarred from doing clinical practice either directly or indirectly. Suitable amendment to the existing constitution should be made for inscribing such words.

To conclude it is wise to remember the judgement passed by Ohio Supreme Court in the denial of admission to a blind student in a medical school in Ohio, USA which reads as under:-

“An otherwise qualified handicapped person is one who is able to safely and substantially perform an educational program’s essential requirements with reasonable accommodation. An accommodation is not reasonable where it requires fundamental alterations in the essential nature of the program or imposes an undue financial or administrative burden”, the majority decision states.

“Furthermore, an educational institution is not required to accommodate a handicapped person by eliminating a course requirement which is reasonably necessary to the proper use of the degree conferred at the end of study,” the ruling noted.”

It is and also a well-settled proposition of law that the rights of the society as a whole cannot be subservient to the rights of an individual or even a section of society.

The Sub-Committee after going through the above all past decisions of the various Committees as well as ruling of the Hon’ble Supreme Court decided as under:

1. As per the decision of MCI dated 5.7.2001 and the order of Hon’ble Supreme Court issued on 18.9.2002 in C.A. No. 4604 of 2000 with CA No. 7892 of 2001 that visually handicapped and hearing defects cannot be considered for admission into MBBS course as provided under section 39 of the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995 .
2. In case a person who became visually challenged before appearing in the course and does not qualify first professional examination, he/she should be discharged from the course. In case the candidate passed the first/second professional examination and becomes blind in the final professional, he/she should and also be discharged from the course as visually handicapped person is not in a position to pursue the medical course and do the internship as correct vision is absolutely necessary for the study and for the practice of medicine.”

57. Draft amendment to the Drugs and cosmetics rules regarding amendment of Schedule Y., i.e. requirements and guidelines for permission to conduct clinical trials, import and/or manufacture of new drugs for marketing in India – consideration of the report of the Sub-Committee.

Read: The report of the Sub-Committee constituted as per the decision taken by the Executive Committee in its meeting held on 3rd Feb., 2004 with regard to Draft amendment to the Drugs and cosmetics rules regarding amendment of Schedule Y., i.e. requirements and guidelines for permission to conduct clinical trials, import and/or manufacture of new drugs for marketing in India.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council considered and approved the report of the Sub-Committee dated 28.03.2004 with regard to Draft amendment to the Drugs and cosmetics rules regarding amendment of Schedule Y., i.e. requirements and guidelines for permission to conduct clinical trials, import and/or manufacture of new drugs for marketing in India which

reads as under. It was further decided to place the same before the General Body of the Council:-

“As per the decision of the Executive Committee in its meeting held on 3rd Feb., 2004, a Sub Committee has been constituted consisting of the following members, which met on 28-3-04 in the Council office :

1. Dr. G.B. Gupta, Raipur
2. Dr. P.K. Sur, Kolkata

Draft amendment to the Drugs and Cosmetic rules regarding amendment of schedule Y, i.e. requirements and guidelines for permission to conduct clinical trials, impart or manufacture new drugs for marketing in India has been studied and discussed in this meeting. The document has been well produced and the Committee suggest the following changes:

At page 60 appendix VIII, Fixed Dose Combinations (FDCs) have been written. We suggest following change :-

Existing draft :

- a) The first group of FDCs includes those in which one or more of the active ingredients is a new drug. For such FDCs to be approved for marketing data to be submitted will be similar to data required for any new drug (including clinical trials).
- b) The second group FDCs includes those in which active ingredients already approved/marketed individually are combined for the first time, for a particular claim and where the ingredients are likely to have significant interaction of a pharmacodynamic or pharmacokinetic nature (see rule 122 E, item (c)). If clinical trials have been carried out with the FDC in other countries, reports of such trials should be submitted. If the FDC is marketed abroad, the regulatory status in other countries should be stated. (see appendix I, item 9).

For marketing permission, appropriate chemical and pharmaceutical data will be submitted. In case such combination is not marketed anywhere in the world but these drugs are already in use concomitantly (not as an FDC but individually) for the said claim, marketing permission may be granted based on chemical and pharmaceutical data. Data showing the stability of the proposed dosage form will and also have to be submitted.

For any other such FDCs, clinical trials may be required. For obtaining permission to carry out clinical trials with such FDCs a summary of available pharmacological, toxicological and clinical data on the individual ingredients should be submitted, along with the rationale for combining them in the proposed ratio. In addition, acute toxicity data (LD50) and pharmacological data should be submitted on the individual ingredients as well as their combination in the proposed ratio.

- (c) The third group of FDCs includes those which are already marketed, but in which it is proposed either to change the ratio of active ingredients or to make a new therapeutic claim.

For such FDCs, the appropriate rationale including published reports (if any) should be submitted to obtain marketing permission. Permission will be granted depending upon the nature of the claim and data submitted.

- (d) The fourth group of FDC includes those whose individual active ingredients (or drugs from the same class) have been widely used in a particular indication(s) for years, their concomitant use is often necessary and no claim is proposed to be made other than convenience. It will have to be demonstrated that the proposed dosage form is stable and the ingredients are unlikely to have significant interaction of a pharmacodynamic or pharmacokinetic nature.

No additional animal or human data are generally required for these FDCs and marketing permission may be granted if the FDC has an acceptable rationale.

The following changes are proposed :-

1. In item (b) para 3 should be as follows –

For any other such FDCs, clinical trials may be required. For obtaining permission to carry out clinical trials with such FDCs a summary of available pharmacological, toxicological and clinical data on the individual ingredients should be submitted, along with the rationale for combining them in the proposed ratio. In addition acute toxicity data (**LD10**) and pharmacological data should be submitted on the individual ingredients as well as their combination in the proposed ratio.

The opinion of this Committee is that LD10 is less harmful dose than LD 50 in respect of Human subjects.

2. In item (c) add after last sentence -

“If any change in ratio of active ingredients in a FDCs or a new therapeutic claim is made marketing permission should be given only after carrying out a limited phase III clinical trial.”

The opinion of the Committee is that this limited phase III clinical trial is required to ensure patients’ safety when FDCs are used in different indications.

So, this Committee after going through the draft amendment and amendment submitted by the Ethics Committee fully agrees with the opinion of Ethics Committee.”

58. Complaint against Dr. Quamruzzaman as alleged by Security Inspector, City Zone, West Champaran.

Read: The complaint against Dr. Quamruzzaman as alleged by Security Inspector, City Zone, West Champaran.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council approved the following decision of the Ethics Committee taken at its meeting held on 19th and 20th April, 2004-

“The Ethics Committee considered the complaint of Security Inspector, City Zone, West Champaran vide his letter dt. 24.3.2003 as well as deposition submitted by Dr. Quamruzzaman on 24.2.2004.

After due deliberations, the Ethics Committee found that the registration of Dr. Quamruzzaman bearing registration number MCI-13137, dated 12.1.1995 is genuine. It was and also noted by the Committee that Dr. Quamruzzaman has got the MD (Physician) degree as well as a certificate of MS (USSR) and and also worked in ESI Hospital, Delhi for a period of about 3 years. In view of his exposure to surgical procedure for a suitable period, the Ethics Committee was of the opinion that though his postgraduate degree in Surgery is not registered with Medical Council of India but he has acquired adequate training and exposure from teaching institute which was recognised earlier by MCI and and also in the considerable period of exposure in the ESI Hospital to enable him to carry out minor surgical procedures.

However, Dr. Quamruzzaman has himself agreed that by writing MBBS, MS (USSR) in his prescription pad instead of MD (Physician) he has done an unethical act. At the same time he further stated that he is not utilizing the letter-heads any further and and also he has closed his minor O.T.

In view of above, the Ethics Committee felt that Dr. Quamruzzaman should be issued a warning to be very particular and careful in future regarding use of letter-heads, registration number, degrees etc. and he should confirm strictly to the guidelines laid down in the IMC (Professional Conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations, 2002 and this warning may be recorded in the Indian Medical Register.

This may be placed before the Executive Committee/General Body of the Council for their approval and if approved, necessary action may be taken by the office and a copy of the same may be communicated to the complainant”.

59. Complaint Against Dr. Hage Tayo & Dr. Manoj Malik as alleged by Mr. Greesh Dhir.

Read: The complaint against Dr.Hage Toya & Dr. Manoj Malik as alleged by Mr. Greesh Dhir.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council approved the following decision of the Ethics Committee taken at its meeting held on 24th and 25th May, 2004-

“The Ethics Committee noted that this case has already been dealt by Delhi Medical Council and vide letter dated 20.02.2004, they have issued an order wherein Dr. Hage Tayo's name has been removed from the State Medical Register of Delhi Medical Council for a period of 6 weeks.

The Ethics Committee endorsed the decision and thereby recommends to the Executive Committee and General Body of the Council to remove Dr. Hage Tayo's name from the Indian Medical Register during the said period following the procedure laid down in this Council. Secretary, MCI may be requested to do the needful.”

60. Complaint against Medical Director & Ors. of Maharaja Agrasen Hospital, New Delhi as alleged by Mr. Naresh Mehra.

Read: The complaint against Medical Director & Ors. of Maharaja Agrasen Hospital, New Delhi as alleged by Mr. Naresh Mehra.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council approved the following decision of the Ethics Committee taken at its meeting held on 24th and 25th May, 2004-

“The Ethics Committee noted that this case has already been dealt by the Delhi Medical Council and vide their letter No. DMC/14/2/COMP.78/2004/L-9092 dated 5.4.2004 have communicated an order in this regard. Delhi Medical Council has removed the name of Dr. P. Sharma from the Indian Medical Register of Delhi Medical Council for a period of 6 weeks.

The Ethics Committee endorsed the same recommendations to the Executive Committee and General Body of the Council to delete the name of Dr. P. Sharma during the said period from the Indian Medical Register as per the procedure laid down in this Council. Secretary, MCI may be requested to do the needful.”

61. Action to be taken against the teachers who have shown employment in more than one medical college at the same point of time.

Read: The matter with regard to Action to be taken against the teachers who have shown employment in more than one medical college at the same point of time.

The matter was considered by General Body and the following was considered:-

“Over a period of last 1-2 years by considering the inspection reports of various medical colleges seeking permissions/renewals under Section 10A of the Act, it was felt and observed that a large number of doctors are claiming employment as medical teachers in more than one medical college at the same time. It was being observed that the names of the doctors shown as medical teachers in a particular medical college were getting repeated in the inspection reports of certain other medical colleges, in the same proximity of time.

Apparently, the medical colleges and the medical teachers were indulging in such activities only to show to the inspection team of the Council that the colleges concerned are fulfilling the minimum requirement for the teaching staff for seeking permissions/renewals under Section 10A of the Act.

The Council, therefore, to curb such unscrupulous tendencies, started adopting methods in this regard. Declaration forms were introduced to be signed by the doctors claiming employment as medical teachers in any given medical college and that they also remain present along with their declaration forms, at the time of the conduct of the inspection of that college.

Subsequently, a provision for endorsement by the Dean/Principal of the medical college was also introduced in the declaration forms to make this requirement more efficient and effective by stating that in the event of any declaration made by a particular medical teacher turns out to be untrue and incorrect, the Dean/Principal of the college putting signatures as endorsement of the truthfulness of the statement made in the declaration would also be held responsible in that event.

Needless to state that the Council has always tried to improve in this regard for ensuring that such misdeclarations / misstatements are completely eliminated or minimized to the extent possible with the clear perception that the Council should take appropriate action against such erring doctors whenever it is found that the particular doctor has furnished more than one declaration forms towards claiming teaching employment in any medical college when such a doctor has already furnished similar declaration for claiming employment as medical teacher in certain other medical colleges at the same point of time.

This problem has engaged attention of the Council continuously during the last 1-2 years. The cases have also been considered by the Ethics Committee of the Council. Whenever it has been found that a particular doctor is claiming employment as medical teacher at the same point of time in more than one medical colleges, show cause notices had been issued seeking their replies. They were given due opportunities to present their explanation before the Ethics Committee.”

Before the General Body of the Council, cases of such misdeclarations and misstatements by the medical teachers have been placed for consideration. The Ethics Committee of the Council, after granting opportunity of being heard to all of these doctors, has recommended imposition of punishment of removal of their names from the Indian Medical Register maintained by the Council.

This issue was considered by the General Body of the Council with all required seriousness. Undoubtedly, such kind of misconduct is much more serious than the alleged negligence in cases of treating the patients by doctors. Such misdeclarations/ misstatements are made to cause deception not only to the Council but also on the Central Govt. for extracting permissions/renewals under Section 10A of the Act.

The worst part is that ultimately it is those innocent students who get admissions in such medical colleges where the minimum required medical teachers are shown only in such a dubious manner, causes irreparable prejudice to the fair interests of those students and further also to the patients who may be treated by such half-baked students who would not get their exposure and training with the minimum required number of medical teachers available to them.

The General Body was clearly of the view that such a tendency has to be completely eliminated and not only curbed. The situation does not brook any lenience in this regard and deserves to be dealt with a heavy hand. No doctor should ever be allowed to make such false declaration and get away with it. Timely efficient action in this regard is the need of the hour. It should also act as an effective deterrent so that others who are getting tempted to indulge into such activities should feel reluctant to do so.

The Council, therefore, without any ambiguity unanimously decided as under:-

- (a) The names of the following teachers be erased temporarily upto 31st July, 2007.
- (b) He/She will not be eligible to be counted as a teacher at the inspections to be carried out by MCI for the academic years 2005-06 and 2006-07.
- (c) The names of all such teachers be published on the website and a circular be sent to all the Directors of Medical Education of all the States, all the Universities and all the Medical Colleges/Institutions.

It was further decided that in case of non-medical teachers who is not possessing a medical degree or a registration certificate, he/she will not be eligible to be counted as a teacher at the inspections to be carried out by MCI for the academic years 2005-06 and 2006-07.

The Council further decided that a circular be issued to all the D.M.Es., Universities and Medical Colleges/Institutions that the list of such defaulting teachers has been published on the website of the Council. it was further decided that this decision would be applicable mutatis mutandis to all the teachers who have been found employed more than one medical college for the academic year 2003-04 and whose case has not been finalized as yet due to non-appearance or any other reason.

Sr. No.	Name of the Doctor	Subject	Name of the State Medical Council where he / she is Registered with Registration No.
1.	Dr. C. Indira	Physiology	Andhra Medical Council – 4613
2.	Dr. K. Krishna Murthy	Physiology	Andhra Medical Council – 5608
3.	Dr. Y.Vijaysarathi	Physiology	Andhra Medical Council – 19677
4.	Dr. K. Anand Kumar	Physiology	Andhra Medical Council – 43965
5.	Dr. M. Panchela Reddy	Pathology	Andhra Medical Council – 13827
6.	Dr. Shiva Bhushanam K.	Pharmacology	Andhra Medical Council – 3428
7.	Dr. R. Vishva Kumar	Biochemistry	Andhra Medical Council - 20269
8.	Dr. M. Bhupathi Reddy	Community Medicine	Hyderabad State Medical Council – 4386
9.	Dr. Rama Mohan A	Anaesthesiology	Andhra Medical Council – 8894
10.	Dr. A. Venugopala Sarma	Community Medicine	Andhra Medical Council – 5403
11.	Dr. B. Anusuya	Anatomy	Andhra Medical Council – 1415
12.	Dr. D. Pariarah Chetty	Paediatrics	Andhra Medical Council – 3472
13.	Dr. D.J. Syama Sajani	Physiology	Andhra Medical Council – 5484

14.	Dr. K. Kamla	General Medicine	Andhra Medical Council – 3714
15.	Dr. G.E. Reddy	Pathology	Andhra Medical Council – 3075
16.	Dr. K. Rama Kumar	Biochemistry	Andhra Medical Council – 7673
17.	Dr. V. Premswarup	Anaesthesiology	Andhra Medical Council – 14588
18.	Dr. P. Hanumantha Rao	General Medicine	Andhra Medical Council – 43426
19.	Dr. Jogi Naidu	Pathology	Andhra Medical Council – 42197
20.	Dr. M. Sreedhar	Community Medicine	Andhra Medical Council – 35755
21.	Dr. S. Yella Rao	Microbiology	Andhra Medical Council – 1829
22.	Dr. J. Venkateswarlu	Radiology	Andhra Medical Council – 10997
23.	Dr. S.Surya Rao	Anaesthesiology	Andhra Medical Council – 2425
24.	Dr. S. Lakshmi Narasinha Reddy	Biochemistry	Andhra Medical Council – 3778
25.	Dr. K. Hari Krishna	Dermatology	Andhra Medical Council – 18444
26.	Dr. Shyamala Kumari	Pharmacology	Andhra Medical Council – 2756
27.	Dr. N.V. Narayana Chetty	Orthopaedics	Andhra Medical Council – 4678
28.	Dr. S.S. Hariprasad	Forensic Medicine	Andhra Medical Council – 2710
29.	Dr. K. Surya Kumari	Biochemistry	Andhra Medical Council – 3554
30.	Dr. T. Bhaskar Rao	Community Medicine	Andhra Medical Council – 13720
31.	Dr. N. Hari	Forensic Medicine	Hyderabad State Medical Council – 7195
32.	Dr. Bhoopathy Sreehary	Physiology	Andhra Medical Council – 7041
33.	Dr. K. Rajeshwari	OBG	Andhra Medical Council – 2713
34.	Dr. G. S. Kumari	Pathology	Andhra Medical Council – 2565
35.	Dr. Veera Venkatanaga - rajamannar Sarella	Anatomy	Andhra Medical Council – 19819
36.	Dr. Kurru Venkata Rao	Anatomy	Andhra Medical Council – 12322
37.	Dr. Venkateshwar Rao	General Surgery	Andhra Medical Council – 2620
38.	Dr. B.V. S. Prasad	Pathology	Andhra Medical Council – 20183
39.	Dr. M. Venkatachalam	Physiology	Andhra Medical Council – 16263
40.	Dr. T. Muni Kumari	Radio diagnosis	Andhra Medical Council – 36550
41.	Dr. K. Satya Narayana Reddy	Anatomy	Hyderabad State Medical Council – 7183
42.	Dr. C. C. Mohan Reddy	Pathology	Andhra Medical Council – 5046
43.	Dr. K. Karuna Murthi	Community Medicine	Andhra Medical Council – 9844
44.	Dr. Kamlesh Sundereshwaran	Anatomy	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 17822
45.	Dr. S. Laxshmi	Forensic Medicine	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 47745
46.	Dr. L. Surya Kumari	Biochemistry	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 16855
47.	Dr. N. Sachidanandan	Physiology	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 29253
48.	Dr. S. Kantha	Pathology	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 18839
49.	Dr. Usha Kothandavaman	Anatomy	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 38339

50.	Dr. M.N. Shahul Hameed	Anatomy	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 57663
51.	Dr. T. Rajan	Anatomy	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 58044
52.	Dr. C. Tyagrajan	Pharmacology	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 48291
53.	Dr. R.Daivasiganani	Forensic Medicine	Tamil Nadu Medical Council – 30678
54.	Dr. Satyakam Jena	Forensic Medicine	Orissa Medical Council – 12594
55.	Dr. Nirajan Tripathy	Anatomy	Orissa Medical Council – 3194
56.	Dr. Sanjay Bagade	Biochemistry	Maharashtra Medical Council – 84888
57.	Dr. Dere Rajesh Chandrakant	Forensic Medicine	Maharashtra Medical Council – 82857
58.	Dr. Vilasini Sundaresan	Anatomy	Travancore Medical Council – 5489
59.	Dr. N. Sundaresan	Pathology	Travancore Medical Council – 4867
60.	Dr. K. Thankam	Community Medicine	Travancore Medical Council – 6311
61.	Dr. N. Ramesh	Physiology	Karnataka Medical Council – 22923
62.	Dr. R.A. Ginde	Physiology	Karnataka Medical Council – 11921
63.	Dr. P. Suman Setty	Pathology	Karnataka Medical Council – 41951
64.	Dr. Kanyakumari	Physiology	Karnataka Medical Council – 11771
65.	Dr. Umamaheshwara Rao Kaveti	Pharmacology	Karnataka Medical Council – 42441

OFFICE NOTE:

For implementation of the above decision the office is directed to take the following steps:-

- i) The individual communication to all these 65 doctors be sent incorporating therein the brief facts and details of the cases, including appearance the Ethics Committee, recommendations of the Ethics Committee and the acceptance thereof by the General Body of the Council and the decision thereupon taken by the General Body of the Council in its meeting held on 12.10.2004.
- ii) This order of the General Body of the Council along with complete details of the above mentioned doctors be put in the website of the Council and an appropriate circular be also sent to all the Directors of Medical Education of all States and Union Territories, Registrars of all Universities, Deans/Principals of Medical Colleges/Institutions and the Registrars of all the State Medical Council(s).

62. (a) i) Minutes of the Finance Committee meeting held on 7th May, 2004 – Approval of.

Read: The minutes of the Finance Committee meeting held on 7th May, 2004.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council approved the minutes of the Finance Committee meeting held on 7th May, 2004 with the following modifications:-

Following may be added to Item No.5: Grievances of the employees of the Council in Service matters-consideration of

It was noted by the members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council that penal action for the employees for coming late to the office has already been taken in accordance with the prevailing rules.”

ii) **Minutes of the Finance Committee held on 29.6.2004 – Approval of.**

Read: The minutes of the Finance Committee meeting held on 29.6.2004.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted and approved the minutes of the Finance Committee held on 29.6.2004 with the information provided by the Secretary that action has already been taken before the meeting of Finance Committee to prevent the misuse of the facility of payment of overtime and conveyance allowances and strict following instructions have been given to the Section Heads:-

- (i) *Only those employees who are required for getting the work done due to contingent emergency should be called. Work of routine nature which has remained unfinished and is pending need not routinely be carried out on weekends.*
- (ii) *The requisition should be obtained from the concerned section head in writing and must be approved by the Secretary through Deputy Secretary (Administration).*
- (iii) *Orders should be issued only for those persons who have been specifically approved by the Secretary, to be called on weekends and holidays.*
- (iv) *All such employees will report of work and punch their entries in exact timings and overtime payment should only be made on the basis of the timings punched.”*

62 (b). Minutes of the Postgraduate Committee – Approval of.

The Council approved the minutes of the Postgraduate Committee meetings held on 23/03/2004, 09/04/2004, 15/04/2004, 22/05/2004, 30/06/2004 and 04/08/2004.

63. Creation of two more posts of Whole Time Inspectors in the Council Office.

Read: The matter with regard to creation of two more posts of Whole Time Inspectors in the Council Office.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council considered the matter in detail and observed as under:-

The last cadre review for different posts was carried out in the year 1997, wherein total 3 posts of Whole Time Inspectors have been created. Since then the work of the Council has increased manifold. The number of medical colleges coming within the purview of the Council has increased to more than 211 colleges and every year new medical colleges are being added which requires continuous monitoring by way of inspections and assessment.

Last year the Govt. of India has received applications for 54 medical colleges to be set up. The number of inspections carried out by the Council Inspectors has increased tremendously - i.e. by 50% over last 2 years - as under :-

2001	-	117
2002	-	135
2003	-	176

This number is going to increase in 2004 with more applications of establishment of new colleges to be set up. The transit time spent by the Inspectors has and also increased as the newer colleges have been established in far flung areas necessitating more days being spent in transportation to and from the head quarters. Many colleges have to be inspected more than once for compliance verification for LOI/LOP/renewal of permission. Correspondingly, the inspections for approval of degree would and also increase.

The number of colleges which have to be inspected periodically has and also gone up over the last few years. Due to shortage of Inspectors, the periodical inspections are not being carried out as per schedule.

It was further observed that the number of colleges in the Southern Region comprising of the State of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamilnadu, Kerala and Western Region comprising of the State of Maharashtra, Gujarat and M.P. are far higher than the number of colleges in other region.

Presently, the Council has only three posts of Whole Time Inspectors and it is difficult to carry out the inspections of colleges with the available Inspectors. At least two more Whole Time Inspectors are

required to be appointed so that the Council may recommend to the Govt. of India for various purposes in a time bound manner efficiently.

In view of the above, the members of the Adhoc Committee and of the Executive Committee decided that two more posts of Whole Time Inspectors in the pay scale of Rs.18400-22400 be approved in the office of the Council.

It was further decided that the post of the Zonal Inspectors for the East Zone which is falling vacant on account of resignation tendered by Dr.Mrs. Shakuntala Chakraborty and of the North Zone which is already lying vacant be translocated to the South Zone and West Zone respectively as the number of colleges in these two regions is significantly higher than in the remaining parts of the country. It was further decided that transit insurance cover may be provided to the Whole Time Inspectors and Zonal Inspectors.”

64. Confirmation of Lt. Col. (Retd) Dr. A.R.N. Setalvad as Secretary of the Medical Council of India.

Read: The following recommendations of the Executive and Adhoc Committee with regard to confirmation of Lt. Col. (Retd) Dr. A.R.N. Setalvad as Secretary of the Medical Council of India.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Ad-hoc Committee and of the Executive Committee of the Council decided that Lt. Col. (Retd) Dr. A.R.N. Setalvad be confirmed as Secretary, Medical Council of India with effect from the date of completion of his probation period i.e. 02/06/2004.”

65. Extension of services of Dr. K. Anandakannan as Zonal Inspector on consolidated salary.

Read: The matter with regard to extension of services of Dr. K. Anandakannan as Zonal Inspector.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Ad-hoc Committee appointed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted that the services of Dr. K. Anandakannan as a Zonal Inspector is going to expire on 7.5.2004. The Committee decided to extend the services of Dr. K. Anandakannan for a period of one year i.e. upto 7.5.2005.”

66. Extension of services of Dr. M.C.R. Vyas as Whole Time Inspector.

Read: The matter with regard to extension of service of Dr. M.C.R. Vyas as Whole Time Inspector.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Ad-hoc Committee appointed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted that the services of Dr. M.C.R. Vyas as a Whole Time Inspector is going to expire on 9.4.2004. The Committee decided to extend the services of Dr.M.C.R. Vyas for a period of one year i.e. upto 9.4.2005.”

67. **Request for extension of service of Assistant Secretary appointed on deputation.**

Read: The request of Shri S.S. Sharma appointed as Assistant Secretary on deputation basis for extension of services for a period of one year w.e.f. 25/4/2004.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council perused the request of Shri S.S. Sharma dated 14.4.2004 appointed as Assistant Secretary on deputation in the Council office and decided to grant him extension of services for a period of one year upto 25.4.2005.”

68. **Cadre review for different posts in the Council office.**

Read: The matter with regard to cadre review for different posts in the Council office.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted that they at their meeting held on 28-7-2003 while sanctioning the one additional post of Deputy Secretary in the Council office suggested that a comprehensive statement be prepared by the Council office showing the requirement of staff in all categories and the same will be placed before the Committee.

In this context, it is submitted that the main objectives of the Council are as under:

- a) maintain standards of the medical education both undergraduate and postgraduate.
- b) register medical practitioner and maintain All India Medical Register
- c) recognize medical degrees
- d) recognize/de-recognize Indian and foreign medical qualifications

- e) inspect and visit of medical colleges with a view to maintain proper standard of medical education
- f) grant of permission to start postgraduate courses
- g) negotiate with other countries for reciprocity for the recognition of medical qualification
- h) register basic and additional qualifications and issue of good standing certificates
- i) issue of eligibility certificates and verification of documents
- j) lay down standards of professional conduct and etiquette and a code of ethics for the medical practitioners.

In order to maintain these objectives, it is further submitted that the work in the Council office is spread over several sections as under :-

- a) Medical Education Section
- b) Registration and Eligibility
- c) Ethics
- d) Monitoring
- e) Administration (Establishment & Accounts)
- f) Legal
- g) IMR
- h) Miscellaneous (Curriculum, Migration, Teachers' Eligibility and other matters not covered under any of the above)

The last cadre review for different posts was done in 1997. Since then, the work load of the Council in each section has increased manifold as shown below :

1. Medical Education Section : The number of medical colleges coming within the purview of the Council has increased to more than 210 colleges from 138 colleges as on 30th June, 1997. Every year, new medical colleges are being added and this year about 25 applications for new medical colleges and six applications for increase of seats have been received so far. This requires continuous monitoring and processing of applications. The number of inspections carried out by the Council have and also increased over last 3 years as under :-

Year	Undergraduate	Postgraduate
2001	117	238
2002	135	306
2003	176	377

As a consequence, this office work related with these inspections has and also increased proportionately.

2. Registration & Eligibility : The number of registration has increased over last 3 years correspondently. The work of registration certificates has and also increased commensurately. Provision of Screening Test Regulations have made it mandatory, for candidates who are going abroad, for medical studies to obtain eligibility certificate from the Council. This has been introduced from 2002. This section is and also entrusted with issuance of Good Standing Certificate required for the doctors who are going abroad. The section

is receiving approximately 80 letters per day which are disposed off in accordance with rules and regulations. The increase in the workload of this section is as under :-

	2001	2002	2003
a) Permanent Registration	1360	1380	1067
b) Good Standing Certificate	723	1027	1311
c) Eligibility Certificate	-	1674	2522

Parallel task undertaken by this section is verification of the documents – mainly 10+2 certificate from the respective Boards and degree/diploma certificates which have to be verified from the respective Universities and authenticated by Indian Embassies.

3. Ethics : The number of cases handled by the Ethics Committee during the last 3 years is increased as under :-

2001 -	763
2002 -	1025
2003 -	1379

Another development which is to be noted is that as per the direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court, the General Body of the Council has already approved the modification of the Professional Conduct of Ethics and Etiquette Regulations in which para 8.7 and 8.8 are to be added to the existing provisions wherein the appellate power is being entrusted to the Council. These modifications have already been sent to the Govt. for approval. As and when these Regulations are approved by the Government, the Council will have the appellate jurisdiction over all State Medical Councils in disciplinary and ethical issues which will increase its work tremendously.

4. Monitoring :This is a new section which has been created in 2003 when it was observed that many teachers are found to be claiming employment in more than one medical college simultaneously. Since then this section is entrusted with scrutiny of the declaration forms being brought by the inspectors at each inspection of the medical colleges. It is and also entrusted with the task of finding out the cases of teachers who are employed in more than one medical college.

This section is and also entrusted with monitoring the admissions of students in medical colleges in 1st MBBS for which the data are collected and compiled from all the medical colleges and any admission found to be in violation of – a) the last date of admission of 30th September; b) Admission in excess of 50% by the Management in unaided institutions; and c) Excess of the permitted intake – are being continuously monitored. The show cause notice are issued whenever violations are observed. For the academic year 2003-04 such show cause notice have been issued to 13 medical colleges for violation of prescribed last date of admission; 19 colleges for violation of 50% Management and to 13 colleges for violating the permitted intake. This work has and also been expected to increase over a period of time.

It should be noted that this section does not have any staff sanctioned for it as it has been created after the last review. The entire staff has been posted from other sections deputing other sections.

5. Administration (Establishment & Accounts) :

This section deals with the following:-

- 1) Service matter of employees.
- 2) Loans and advances of employees.
- 3) Salary of employees.
- 4) Maintaining Service records of employees.
- 5) Work related to construction of Council Building.
- 6) Purchase related work.
- 7) Appointments related work.

In consonance with the increasing workload in different sections, the workload related to the service matters, maintenance of their records, payment of salary/allowances, loans and advances, typing, data entry work etc. has and also increased commensurately.

It is and also to be observed that the new building at Dwarka is nearing completion and the Office will be shifting to the new premises soon. Although, it is envisaged that the utility services like sanitation, security, canteen, etc. will be handed out on contractual basis to agencies specializing in such type of work, thereby achieving the twin objectives of getting the desired work done without adding to the employee burden on the Council. However, limited number of posts which are having specialized functions related with the overall safety of the building and the employees will have to be created.

6. Legal: This section is entrusted with the monitoring of the cases in which the Council is a party either as a petitioner/applicant or as a defendant/ respondent. It has been observed that the number of cases pending in Hon'ble Supreme Court and other Courts is gradually increasing over a period of time. The major task to be carried out by this section is to complete the file work related with filing of the petitions or reply affidavits, to assist to the Council Advocates in preparation of the affidavits and to monitor and review the progress of the cases. The workload has shown significant increase over a period of last 3 years and at present total 546 cases are pending in the Hon'ble Supreme Court and High Courts.
7. IMR : This section is entrusted with the task of maintaining Indian Medical Register. Data from all State Councils are received for compilation which is done centrally. The IMR section is and also receiving 10-15 requests for issue of IMR verification certificates.
8. Miscellaneous (Curriculum, Migration, Teachers' Eligibility etc.)

This Section is entrusted with work related to Migration, Teachers' Eligibility, Curriculum Revision, Record of Meetings, Record of Members of the Council etc.

In view of the above, the members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council approved the following new posts which are required for carrying out the work of the Council effectively:-

Sl.No	Post	Grade	Existing No.	Additional No. required
1.	Deputy Secretary (Medical)	Rs.12000-375-18000/-	3	1
2.	Assistant Secretary	Rs.10000-325-15200/-	3	2
3.	Stenographer Grade-II	Rs.5500-175-9000/-	2	2
4.	Computer Operator	Rs.4000-100-6000/-	2	4
5.	U.D.C.	Rs.4000-100-6000/-	16	1
6.	Stenographer Grade-III	Rs.4000-100-6000/-	5	2
7.	Telephone Operator	Rs.4000-100-6000/-	1	1
8.	Electrician	Rs.4000-100-6000/-	Nil	1
9.	Lower Division Clerk	Rs.3050-75-3950-80-4590/-	29	4
10.	D.G. Set Operator	Rs.3050-75-3950-80-4590/-	Nil	1
11.	Peon	Rs.2550-55-2660-60-3200/-	5	3
12.	Messenger	Rs.2550-55-2660-60-3200/-	2	1

69. Departmental Promotions in the office of the Medical Council of India.

Read: The decision of the Departmental Promotion Committee with regard to promotion of employees of the Council.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

The members of the Ad-hoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council considered following recommendations of the departmental Promotions Committee and approved the same:-

S.No	Name	Date of Promotion	Promoted from the post	Promoted to the Post of
1.	Sh.Sanjay Kumar	01/12/2003	L.D.C	U.D.C.

2.	Mrs. Alka Lajpal	22/12/2003	L.D.C	U.D.C.
3.	Ms. Anjana	22/12/2003	L.D.C	U.D.C.
4.	Mrs. Madhu Rawat	12/01/2004	L.D.C	U.D.C.

70. Appointment for the posts of LDC (General), Record Keeper – cum- Librarian and Messenger in the Council office.

Read: The appointment for the post of LDC (General), Record Keeper – cum- Librarian and Messenger in the Council office.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council approved the recommendations of the Selection Committee for appointments of Lower Division Clerk (Gen.), Record Keeper-Cum-Librarian met on 11.01.04 and for the post of Messenger on 1.11.2003 in the Council office :-

1. LDC (Lower Division Clerk)

Selected

1. Sh. Ashok Sharma
2. Ms. Nirmala Srivastava

Waiting

1. Ms. Monika Banyal
2. Sh. Laxmi Narayan
3. Ms. Savita
4. Sh. Vikas Tanwar

2. Record Keeper-Cum-Librarian

Selected

1. Mrs. Veena Devi

Waiting

1. Sh. Arun Kumar Nawani

3. Messenger

Selected

1. Sh. Damodhar (# 20)

Waiting

1. Sh. Rakesh Kumar (# 34)

71. Appointment of Whole Time Inspectors and Zonal Inspectors in the office of the Medical Council of India.

Read: The recommendations of the Selection Committee for appointment of Whole Time Inspectors and Zonal Inspectors in the office of the Medical Council of India.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee approved the recommendations of the Selection Committee for appointment of following Whole Time Inspectors and Zonal Inspectors in the Council office in view of the pending workload of the inspections and also necessity of completing the inspections in a time bound manner:-

Whole Time Inspectors

1. Dr. Malti Mehra
2. Dr. Vandana Ajay Khanolkar

Zonal Inspector (West)

1. Dr. S.B. Agarwal

Zonal Inspector (South)

1. Dr. A. Shahnawaz Khan

The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee and also observed that the inspection workload and consequential travelling has increased tremendously requiring the absence of the Zonal Inspectors for considerable a long period at a stretch. As more inspections have to be carried out in time bound manner, all the inspection reports and also have to be prepared and submit to the Council in a short time which results in greater exertion to the Inspectors, the Committee further decided that the consolidated remuneration payable to the Zonal Inspectors may be increased from current Rs. 20,000/- to Rs. 26,000/- per month.

The Committee directed the Secretary of the Council to issue appointment orders for the above Whole Time Inspectors and Zonal Inspectors.”

72. Registration in Indian Medical Register requirement for State Medical Council – regarding.

Read: The matter with regard to registration in Indian Medical Register requirement for State Medical Council.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council decided that the State Medical Councils are required to furnish the following details of the persons being registered with their Council alongwith documentary evidence so as to enable MCI to maintain IMR for monitoring activities as well:-

- (i) Copy of proof of date of birth.
- (ii) Copy of the Graduate/Postgraduate Medical Degree certificate (s).
- (iii) Copy of the registration certificate of the registration issued by the State Medical Council.
- (iv) Two photographs with authentication of registration number by the Registrar of State Medical Council.
- (v) In order to meet the expenses, the State Medical Councils may and also be advised to collect a demand draft of Rs.500/- per candidate in favour of the MCI payable at New Delhi to be remitted alongwith the list.”

73. Removal of name of Dr.N.Balakrishna from Indian Medical Register.

Read: The letter received from the Registrar, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore enclosing therewith an order dated 8/11/2002 issued by Karnataka Lokayukta and order dated 20/1/2004 issued by the President, Karnataka Medical Council with regard to removal of name of Dr.N. Balakrishna bearing Karnataka Medical Council NO.25404 from the Medical Register for indulging in Examination corruption and malpractices.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council considered the order of the Karnataka Medical Council dated 29.1.2004 and also noted the whole matter regarding removal of name of Dr. N. Balakrishna (Registration No. 25404) for having indulged in examination corruption and malpractice. The Committee decided to remove the name of above mentioned doctor from the Indian Medical Register and also to give intimation in this regard to all the State Medical Councils in the country.”

74. Removal of name of Dr. Md. Abdul Quader & Dr, Amulya Ratan Biswas.

Read: The order dated 31-12-2003 received from the Registrar, West Bengal Medical Council alongwith the decision of the ethical committee with regard to Removal of name of Dr. Md. Abdul Quader & Dr, Amulya Ratan Biswas.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted the action taken by the West Bengal Medical Council with regard to removal of name of Dr. Md. Abdul Quader for a period of six months & Dr. Amulya Ratan Biswas for a period of three years w.e.f. 30.12.2003 and directed to Secretary to remove the names in accordance with the action taken by the West Bengal Medical Council

from the Indian Medical Register and and also to give intimation in this regard to all the State Medical Councils in the country.”

75. Removal of name of Dr. Shree Prakash Parasar from the Indian Medical Register.

Read: The letter received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council intimating that Dr.Shree Prakash Parasar has expired on 7/9/1991 and his name has been removed from the Register of Registered Medical Practitioners.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted the letter dated 23.4.2004 received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council intimating that Dr. Shree Prakash Parsasar (Regn. No.13297) has expired on 7.9.1991 and his name has been erased from the register of Registered Medical Practitioners. The Committee decided to remove the name of above mentioned doctor from the Indian Medical Register and and also to give intimation in this regard to all the State Medical Councils in the country.”

76. Removal of the name of the deceased person from the Medical Register

Read: The letter received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council intimating that Dr. Yogendra Nath Thulal (Regn. No.2250) has expired on 1969 and his name has removed from the Register of Registered Medical Practitioner.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted the letter received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council intimating that Dr. Yogendra Nath Thulal (Regn. No.2250) has expired on 1969 and his name has been erased from the register of Registered Medical Practitioners. The Committee decided to remove the name of above-mentioned doctor from the Indian Medical Register and and also to give intimation in this regard to all the State Medical Councils in the country.”

77. Approval of the minutes of the Building Committee meetings held on 08/05/2004, 04/08/2004 & 06/09/2004.

The Council approved the minutes of the Building Committee meetings held on 08/05/2004, 04/08/2004 & 06/09/2004 as approved by the Executive Committee.

78. Recognition of M.D.(Anatomy) qualification granted by Aligarh Muslim University in respect of students being trained at J.L.N. Medical College, Aligarh- change of nomenclature regarding

Read: The letter of the Registrar, Aligarh Muslim University regarding recognition of MD(Anatomy) being change of nomenclature from M.S.(Anatomy) to M.D.(Anatomy)

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the letter of the Registrar, Aligarh Muslim University and noted that M.S (Anatomy) qualification granted by Aligarh Muslim University is recognised by the Council; decided to recommend that MD (Anatomy) granted by Aligarh Muslim University being change of nomenclature be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956.”

79. Veer Narmad South Gujrat University/ South Gujrat University – Recognition of Diploma in Community Medicine qualification in respect of students being trained at Govt. Medical College, Surat.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Govt. Medical College, Surat for purpose of recognition of Diploma in Community Medicine qualification granted by Veer Narmad South Gujrat University/South Gujrat University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) and decided to recommend that Diploma in Community Medicine qualification granted by Veer Narmad South Gujrat University/South Gujrat University in respect of the students being trained at Govt. Medical College, Surat be recognised and included in the first schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956.”

The Committee further decided to direct the institute authorities to prospectively i.e. from the academic session 2005-2006 to restrict the number of admissions to 3 (three) students per year in Diploma in Community Medicine in commensurate with available postgraduate teachers.

The Committee further decided to draw the attention to the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

80. Sardar Patel University – Recognition of DCH qualification in respect of students being trained at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad.

Read: The compliance verification report (August, 2004) together with the compliance and inspection report (August, 2003) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad for purpose of recognition of DCH qualification granted by Sardar Patel University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (August, 2004) together with compliance and inspection report (August, 2003) and decided to recommend that DCH qualification granted by Sardar Patel University in respect of students being trained at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad be recognised and included in the first schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

81. Himachal Pradesh University - Recognition of D.M.R.D. qualification in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (July, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla for purpose of recognition of DMRD qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (July, 2004) and decided to recommend that DMRD qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla be recognised and included in the first schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 3 (three) students per year.

The Committee further decided to draw the attention to the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

82. i) University of Bombay/Mumbai – Recognition of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification in respect of students being trained at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai.

ii) University of Bombay/Mumbai & Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – recognition of D.P.H. qualification in respect of students being trained at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai.

Read: The compliance verification report (July, 2004) together with compliance & inspection report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of i) recognition of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and ii) recognition of D.P.H. qualification earlier granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai & Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (July, 2004) together with compliance & inspection report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that –

- (i) MD(SPM) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai in respect of students being trained at LTM Medical College, Mumbai be recognised and included in the first schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956.
- (ii) D.P.H qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai & Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik in respect of students being trained at LTM Medical College, Mumbai be recognised and included in the first schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 3 (three) students per year.

The Committee further decided to direct the institution authorities prospectively i.e. from the academic session 2005-2006 to restrict the number of admissions to 4 (four) students per year in MD(SPM) in commensurate with the available number of PG teachers.”

83. Marathwada University/Dr.Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University – Approval of S.R.T.R. Medical College, Ambajogai for the award of M.S.(Anatomy)qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.R.T.R. Medical College, Ambajogai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification earlier granted by Marathwada University and now by Dr.Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the inspection report (August, 2004) and decided to recommend that S.R.T.R. Medical College, Ambajogai be approved for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification granted by Dr.Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University.

University restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

84. Rajasthan University – Approval of J.L.N. Medical College, Ajmer for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at J.L.N. Medical College, Ajmer for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Rajasthan University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) and decided to recommend that J.L.N. Medical College, Ajmer be approved for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Rajasthan University restricting the number of admissions to 4 (four) students per year.”

85. Rajasthan University – Approval of J.L.N. Medical College, Ajmer for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (September, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at J.L.N. Medical College, Ajmer for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Rajasthan University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Inspection report (September, 2004) and decided to recommend that J.L.N. Medical College, Ajmer be approved for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Rajasthan University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

86. Manipur University- Recogniton of MS (Anatomy) qualification in respect of students being trained at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal granted by Manipur University.

Read: The Council Inspector’ report (August, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal for purpose of recognition of MS (Anatomy) qualification in respect of Regional institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal granted by Manipur University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’ report (August, 2004) and decided to recommend that MS

(Anatomy) qualification granted by Manipur University in respect of students being trained at Regional institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal be recognised and included in the 1st schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 5 (five) students per year.”

87. Manipur University- Recogniton of MD (Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal granted by Manipur University.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal for purpose of recognition of MD (Microbiology) qualification in respect of Regional institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal granted by Manipur University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) and decided to recommend that MD (Microbiology) qualification granted by Manipur University in respect of students being trained at Regional institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal be recognised and included in the first schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

88. Manipur University- Recogniton of MD (Radio-Therapy) qualification in respect of students being trained at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal granted by Manipur University.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal for purpose of recognition of MD (Radio-Therapy) qualification in respect of Regional institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal granted by Manipur University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) and decided to recommend that MD (Radio-Therapy) qualification granted by Manipur University in respect of students being trained at Regional institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal be recognised and included in the first schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

89. University of Delhi – Approval of Army Hospital, Delhi Cantt. for the award of MD(General Medicine) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector's report (Oct., 2003) together with compliance submitted by the Hospital authority on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Army Hospital, Delhi Cantt. for approval of the college for the award of MD(General Medicine) qualification.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (Oct., 2003) and decided to recommend that Army Hospital, Delhi Cantt. be approved for the award of MD(General Medicine) qualification granted by University of Delhi restricting the number of admissions to 5(five) students per year.”

90. Annamalai University – Recognition of D.L.O. qualification in respect of students being trained at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar.

Read: Council Inspector's report (August, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar for purpose of recognition of D.L.O. qualification granted by Annamalai University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (August, 2004) and decided to recommend that DLO qualification granted by Annamalai University in respect of students being trained at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar be recognised and included in the first schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956.

The Committee further decided to direct the institution authorities to restrict prospectively i.e. from the academic session 2005-2006 the number of admission to 1 (one) student per year.”

91. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Sri Siddhartha Medical College, Tumkur for the award of D.G.O. qualification.

Read: The compliance verification(June,2004) together with the compliance and (Oct., 2003) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sree Siddhartha Medical College, Tumkur for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.G.O. qualification.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification i(June,2004) together with the compliance and (Oct., 2003) and decided to recommend that Sri Siddhartha Medical College, Tumkur be approved for the award of D.G.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

92. National Instt. of Mental Health & Neuro Sciences (Deemed University) – Recognition of DM (Neuro-Radiology) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at National Instt. of Mental Health & Neuro Sciences, Bangalore for purpose of recognition of D.M. (Neuro Radiology) qualification granted by National Instt. of Mental Health & Neuro Sciences (Deemed University).

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (August, 2004) and decided to recommend that D.M. (Neuro Radiology) qualification granted by National Instt. of Mental Health & Neuro Sciences (Deemed Univesity) in respect of students being trained at National Instt. of Mental Health & Neuro Sciences, Bangalore be recognized and included in the first Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

93. Equivalency of DNB qualifications with MD/MS and DM/M.Ch. courses.

Read: The report of the sub-Committee with regard to Equivalency of DNB qualifications with MD/MS and DM/M.Ch. courses.

The Council considered the matter and decided to defer the consideration of the matter.

94. WP (C) No. 317/2000 – Malay Ganguly Vs. MCI – Professional Conduct (Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations 2002

Read: The WP (C) No. 317/2000 – Malay Ganguly Vs. MCI – Professional Conduct (Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations 2002.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The Members of the Executive Committee and of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court noted the following :-

The Ethics Committee considered the letter dated 28.08.2004 of Sh. Maninder Singh, Council Advocate, in respect of Case No. WP (C) No. 317/2000 – Malay Ganguly Vs. MCI, wherein he has requested

this Council to take some action in view of the judgement of this particular case. The Secretary of the Council has requested the Ethics Committee to consider this matter.

The Ethics Committee, after due consideration, decided to recommend the following :-

Q. No. (i) Whether a medical practitioner can be allowed to seek and obtain registration with more than one State Medical Council?

Ans. – As such there is no necessity of Registration in more than one State Medical Council because any Doctor, who has registered with any State Medical Council is automatically registered in the Indian Medical Register and also by virtue of Section 27 of the IMC Act, 1956, a person, whose name is included in the IMR, can practise anywhere in India.

Q. No. (ii) If the answer of the above mentioned question is in the negative, then how to create a prohibition that no medical practitioner should be allowed to seek and obtain registration with more than one State Medical Council?

Ans. – As the answer of the above mentioned Q. No. 1 is in the negative, hence the prohibition is required stating that no medical practitioner should be allowed to seek and obtain registration with more than one State Medical Council. This may be done by doing necessary amendment of the IMC Act, 1956 or by amendment of MCI Regulations of 2002.

Q. No. – (iii). If the MCI is of the view that the registration with more than one State Medical Council should not be permitted then, in my view, either an amendment to the Act would be required or such prohibition should be considered to be imposed through an amendment of Regulations of 2000 of the MCI by clearly laying down that no medical practitioner shall be entitled to seek and obtain registration with more than one State Medical Council.

Ans. – By way of amendment as proposed in Ans. No. 2, the amendment may clearly state that “No medical practitioner shall be entitled to seek and obtain registration with more than one State Medical Council.”

Q. No. – (iv) In that event each State Medical Council would be required to be requested to ensure that while considering and granting permanent registration to any medical practitioner, it should obtain an affidavit/declaration from the applicant that he/she has neither sought nor has already obtained registration from any other State Medical Council.

Ans. – We agree with the proposal given by the Council Advocate.

Q. No. - (v) If any medical practitioner is found to be registered with more than one State Medical Council and there is a complaint of alleged medical negligence then, in my view, it would be that State Medical Council who would be empowered to consider the case of alleged medical negligence in whose territory the said alleged negligence has taken place.

Q. No. – (vi) Even if a medical practitioner is practicing in more than one State, in my view, it should be that State Medical Council in whose territory the said medical practitioner is predominantly practicing, which should grant registration to him/her. In this regard, your attention is invited to the relevant portion of the provisions of Section 33 and 34 of the Dentists Act, 1948 :-

“33 (1) A person shall be entitled on payment of the prescribed fee have his name entered on the register when it is first prepared, if he resides or carries on the profession of dentistry in the State and if he –

- a) Holds a recognized dental qualification, or
- b)

34. (1) After the date appointed under Sub-section (2) of Section 32 a person shall, on payment of the prescribed fee, be entitled to have his name entered on the register of dentists, if he resides or carries on the profession of dentistry in the State and if he -

- i) Holds a recognized dental qualification, or

Ans. – (v) & (vi) In case of medical practitioner, who is registered with more than one State Medical Council against whom there is a complaint of alleged medical negligence, his case may be dealt with as under:-

a) If the negligent Act has taken place in the territory of State Medical Council with which he had already registered, then it will be tackled by that State Medical Council.

b) If the alleged negligent Act has taken place in a territory of a State with which he has not registered, in that case, the matter will be dealt with by the State Council with which he has registered first.

c) If the alleged negligent Act has taken place in anywhere else in the country where no State Medical Council is existing, then the case will be dealt with by the Council with which he has registered first.

d) Those issues, of which the violation falls within the purview of the MCI, the action will be taken by the MCI. In view of the fact that medical education comes under the purview of the MCI, hence all matter pertaining to medical teachers and Principals/Deans of different medical colleges, will be directly dealt with by the MCI wherein unethical act has taken place as per MCI Regulations.

It is the feeling of the Ethics Committee that MCI should ultimately cease to be a primary registering authority except for such States, who do not have a State Medical Council at present and this responsibility should also cease when such medical councils are created.

The Ethics Committee recommends that as Medical Council of India is the custodian of the IMR, all Doctors registering in a State Medical Council would also fill a separate form and pay such necessary fees as

are fixed. The form along with the fees including a copy of the registration certificate is issued by State Medical Council along with a photograph of the Doctor duly pasted and attested by State Medical Council, shall be sent for each Registered Doctor to the MCI for inclusion of the names in the IMR. The MCI shall keep detailed records of the Doctors by scanning all the materials submitted.

The Members of the Executive Committee and of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court decided to approve the decision of the Ethics Committee as above.”

95. **Proforma for obtaining details from the complainant.**

Read: The proforma prepared for obtaining details from the complainant.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The Members of the Executive Committee and of the Adhoc Committee deliberated upon the issue and decided to approve the proforma for obtaining details from the complainant.”

96. **Complaint against Doctors of Millennium Hospital, New Delhi as alleged by Mr. Arvind Deep, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Delhi.**

Read: The complaint against Doctors of Millennium Hospital, New Delhi as alleged by Mr. Arvind Deep, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Delhi.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The members of the Adhoc Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and of the Executive Committee of the Council noted and approved the following decision of the Ethics Committee taken at its meeting held on 19th and 20th April, 2004-

“The Ethics Committee considered the matter and noted that this case was dealt by Delhi Medical Council and in their order dated 31/03/2004, they have found that Dr. J.S. Gambhir bearing registration no. 747 of DMC has violated clause 1.9 of Indian Medical Council (Professional Conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations, 2002 and clause 6.1 of Code of Medical Ethics of DMC wherein a duty is cast upon a medical practitioner to observe the laws of the country pertaining to the practice of medicine.

In view of the above Delhi Medical Council has issued a warning to Dr. J.S. Gambhir. Censure to be recorded in the State Medical Register of Delhi Medical Council.

The Ethics Committee further noted that Dr. J.S. Gambhir is also registered with MCI bearing registration no. 3206 and recommended that “Censure to be recorded in the Register of Medical Council of India”

97. Status Report of the case considered by the Ethics Committee.

Read: The status report of the cases considered by the Ethics Committee and the cases still pending in the Ethical Section:-

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Ethics Committee:-

“This Ethics Committee was bestowed the responsibility to look after the Ethical matters of this Council since 12/10/2001.

The Ethics Committee held 23 meetings since 12th October, 2001 upto 27th & 28th September, 2004. During this period, in the above mentioned 23 meetings, the Ethics Committee dealt with 1805 cases out of these 1624 cases have been disposed off. As on date, there are 181 cases lying pending with this Council. Out of these 181 cases, 125 cases are pending for a period of more than 6 months and 56 cases are lying pending for less than 6 months period.

In its meeting held on 27th & 28th Sept., 2004 the Ethics Committee has prepared the status report of all the pending cases in the Ethics Section of the Council, which is being placed in a tabulated form (Year-wise)

Pending cases in Ethical Section

Year	Cases lodged	Cases disposed off	Pending cases as on date.
1993	16	16	Nil
1994	26	26	Nil
1995	46	45	1
1996	116	113	3
1997	106	103	3
1998	59	58	1
1999	145	144	1
2000	122	120	2
2001	127	122	5
2002	262	216	46
2003	373(354+19)	325	48
2004 (upto 28.9.04)	407 (333+74)	336	71
Total	1805	1624	181

98. Plagiarism of Scientific Paper – consideration reg.

Read: The matter with regard to Plagiarism of Scientific Paper along with the judgment of the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi dated 26/7/2003 vide W.P. No.4414/2003 – Dr.Yash Nigam vs. Delhi Medical Council.

The Council considered the matter and decided that in accordance with the judicial pronouncement on the issue as Dr. Sudhir Gupta has been registered with Delhi Medical Council, decided to refer the matter to Delhi Medical Council for further necessary action.

99. **Extension of services of Dr. C.A. Desai as Zonal Inspector on consolidated salary.**

Read: The matter with regard to extension of services of Dr. C.A. Desai as Zonal Inspector on consolidated salary

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee and Adhoc Committee:-

“The Members of the Executive Committee and of the Adhoc Committee noted that the services of Dr. C.A. Desai as Zonal Inspector is going to expire on 1.11.2004. The Committee decided to extend the services of Dr. C.A. Desai for a period of one year i.e. upto 1.11.2005.”

100. **Report of the Sub-Committee constituted by the President (Acting) as authorized by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 28.7.2003 to go through into the matter regarding the eligibility of Major Gen. Virendra Singh for the post of Director of Pt.B.D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak.**

Read: The matter with regard to report of the Sub-Committee constituted by the President (Acting) as authorized by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 28.7.2003 to go through into the matter regarding the eligibility of Major Gen. Virendra Singh for the post of Director of Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak.

Consider the matter and in view of the deliberations in the meeting decided that the legal opinion be obtained afresh and after obtaining the fresh legal opinion it may be place before the Executive Committee for consideration before bringing it to the General Body.

Lt.Col.(Retd.) Dr.ARN Setalvad
Secretary

New Delhi, dated the
12th October, 2004.

APPROVED

(DR. P.C. KESVANKUTTY NAYAR)
PRESIDENT (ACTING)

